

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

ISBN: 978-1548308759

CHURCHES: EVANGELICAL OR LIBERAL

FORWARD

I give thanks to God – Father, Son and Holy Spirit for the wonderful work of the two saints – The Reverend Robert J Sanders, Ph D, and Ian H Murray.

They are true Born-Again elect of God's kingdom – His glorious rule in their hearts. The work they have revealed is critical in defining the error in the liberal church.

They define the problems facing the church in this post-modern existential liberal-orientated relative time; where “neo-tolerance” and political correctness reigns. Here I see this new tolerance allowing all views of opinions as being correct. Again, I thank them for their teaching concerning true, Bible based evangelical doctrine.

The Reverend Dr Graham J Whelan, OAM,
M.B.S.; Ph. D in Theology (Hons.); D.D. International Seminary
Fla., USA,
Minister Emeritus Australian Christian Churches

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Contents

CHAPTER 1	6
INTRODUCTION	6
APOSTASY AND HERESY IN THE CHURCH	8
CHAPTER 2	26
CREEDS OF THE EVANGELICAL CHURCH	26
CHAPTER 3	32
GOD’S ELECTION AND ASSURANCE	32
CHAPTER 4	53
THE THIRTY NINE ARTICLES	53
CHAPTER 5	76
LIBERALISM FINDS FAVOUR	76
CHAPTER 6	86
DILIGENCE AND EVANGELICALISM	86
CHAPTER 7	98
SOVEREIGNTY OF GOD	98
CHAPTER 8	134
DEITY OF CHRIST	134
APPENDIX	201

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

LIBERALISM OR EVANGELICALISM

In previous books I have written I have been very concerned about liberal postmodern existential relativism which tolerates error.

I am very thankful for the work of The Reverend Robert J Saunders, Ph D.

This author notes comments by the Rev Robert J Sanders, Ph D, on the work “Evangelism Divided” by Iain H Murray (1) who lists all footnotes as End Notes.

“In regard to the fundamental theological divide within Protestantism, Murray rightly begins with Schleiermacher’s liberal theology. He describes this theology and notes its powerful effect on the church. Even as early as 1857, a leading evangelical, Charles Hodge, thought that some two-thirds of Germany and about the same for England were under the sway of Schleiermacher’s liberalism. Hodge defined the difference between liberalism and evangelicalism as follows.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

The idea that Christianity is a form of feeling, a life, and not a system of doctrine, is contrary to the faith of all Christians. Christianity has always had a creed. A man who believes certain doctrines is a Christian. (2)

In 1924, Fosdick, a leading liberal, gave a similar description of the difference between liberalism and evangelicalism.

Today there are two parties in the churches. They are active in controversy now, and every day their consciousness of difference becomes more sharp and clear. The crux of their conflict lies at this point: one party thinks that the essence of Christianity is its original mental frameworks; the other party is convinced that the essence of Christianity is its abiding experiences. (3)

In response to liberalism, evangelicals banded together to promote their version of Christian truth. As heirs of the Reformation, they rejected the liberal heresy and refused to co-operate with liberals in various Christian endeavours such as conferences, evangelical campaigns, and ecumenical discussions. For the most part, however, very few evangelicals left the Church of England. They stayed because they recognized that the church still maintained its Reformation formularies (the Articles of Religion), and these documents were sufficient to uphold the integrity of the church.

APOSTASY AND HERESY IN THE CHURCH

From the definition of liberalism above it is critical to define apostasy and heresy.

Apostasy

Apostasy refers to a turning away, standing away, a revolt, an about-turn, a rejection of previous sound doctrine, belief in the God of the Holy Bible.

Jesus, *our* Lord, Saviour, our Wisdom – Holiness, Righteousness and Redemption is **the** Truth. Pilate asked Him “what is the truth” (John 18:38) after Jesus said: “those on the side of truth listen to me” (John 18:37).

So, concerning this subject of apostasy let's firstly overview some basic doctrine of the Deity of Jesus from the word “By the Grace of Jesus – Regeneration and Conversion” by Rev Dr Graham Whelan.

Heresy (Definitions see Appendix)

Here is a self-chosen opinion rejecting and contrary to scriptural

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

truth and sound biblical doctrine. It is important to determine why a person who has decided to follow Jesus could ever in their “Christian life” be led astray by false teaching or false doctrine. To this author it is clear that the person was never regenerated – never born again. When born again, the elect are filled with the Holy Spirit – a promise by Jesus and they are sealed not to go astray (John 16:5-15)

From the above definitions of apostasy and heresy I want to turn to what Holy Scripture has to teach.

It is so important to read the truth of Scripture and to ask the Holy Spirit, the Spirit of Jesus, to guide and instruct. Below are some well-known passages.

Mark 4:1-9 – Again Jesus began to teach by the lake. The crowd that gathered around him was so large that he got into a boat and sat in it out on the lake, while all the people were along the shore at the water’s edge. He taught them many things by parables, and in his teaching said; “Listen! A farmer went out to sow his seed. As he was scattering the seed, some fell along the path, and the birds came and ate it up. Some fell on rocky places, where it did not have much soil. It sprang up quickly because the soil was shallow.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

But when the sun came up, the plants were scorched, and they withered because they had no root. Other seed fell among thorns, which grew up and choked the plants, so that they did not bear grain. Still other seed fell on good soil. It came up, grew and produced a crop, some multiplying thirty, some sixty, some a hundred times.”

Then Jesus said, “Whoever has ears to hear, let them hear.” (NIV)

Mark 4:30-32 – Again he said, “What shall we say the kingdom of God is like, or what parable shall we use to describe it? It is like a mustard seed, which is the smallest of all seeds on earth. Yet when planted, it grows and becomes the largest of all garden plants, with such big branches that the birds can perch in the shade.” (NIV)

Matthew 13:31-52 – He told them another parable: “The kingdom of heaven is like a mustard seed, which a man took and planted in his field. Though it is the smallest of all seeds, yet when it grows it is the largest of garden plants and becomes a tree, so that the birds come and perch in its branches.”

He told them still another parable; “The kingdom of heaven is like yeast that a woman took and mixed into about sixty pounds of flour until it worked all through the dough.”

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Jesus spoke all these things to the crowd in parables; he did not say anything to them without using a parable. So was fulfilled what was spoken through the prophet:

“I will open my mouth in parables, I will utter things hidden since the creation of the world.”

Then he left the crowd and went into the house. His disciples came to him and said, “Explain to us the parable of the weeds in the field.”

He answered, “The one who sowed the good seed is the Son of Man. The field is the world, and the good seed stand for the people of the kingdom. The weeds are the people of the evil one, and the enemy who sows them is the devil. The harvest is the end of the age, and the harvesters are angels.

“As the weeds are pulled up and burned in the fire, so it will be at the end of the age. The Son of Man will send out his angels, and they will weed out of his kingdom everything that causes sin and all who do evil. They will throw them into the blazing furnace, where there will be weeping and gnashing of teeth. Then the righteous

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

will shine like the sun in the kingdom of their Father. Whoever has ears, let them hear.

“The kingdom of heaven is like treasure hidden in a field. When a man found it, he hid it again, and then in his joy went and sold all he had and bought that field.

“Again, the kingdom of heaven is like a merchant looking for fine pearls. When he found one of great value, he went away and sold everything he had and bought it.

“Once again, the kingdom of heaven is like a net that was let down into the lake and caught all kinds of fish. When it was full, the fishermen pulled it up on the shore. Then they sat down and collected the good fish in baskets, but threw the bad away. This is how it will be at the end of the age. The angels will come and separate the wicked from the righteous and throw them into the blazing furnace, where there will be weeping and gnashing of teeth.

“Have you understood all these things?” Jesus asked.

“Yes,” they replied.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

He said to them, “Therefore every teacher of the law who has become a disciple in the kingdom of heaven is like the owner of a house who brings out of his storeroom new treasures as well as old.” (NIV)

Before studying the wonderful truth of Jesus’ teaching I am reminded of the truth of Mark 1:1 “The beginning of the gospel about Jesus the Son of God.” Also, Mark 1:15 where Jesus said, “the time has come...the kingdom of God is near, repent and believe the gospel.”

The kingdom here was to be Jesus ruling in the heart of a regenerated person. It is about obedience, our conversion obligation.

In the above passage (Mark 4:2) Jesus speaks in parables. A parable is simply to put two thoughts side by side, to highlight one single point. That is, to draw out a single point, for a single response. A parable is an illustration of spiritual responsiveness. Part of God’s calling an enabling and drawing.

We see the seed sown (Mark 4:3), the seed here being the word of truth and the farmer being Jesus, the Living Word (John 1:1), and He asks us to listen.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Further, the soil can be likened to the heart (Mark 4:4-8) where the heart is responsible and thus receptive. A heart which listens (Mark 4:9 cf 4:3) and then acts on the truth or dismisses the truth, resulting in regeneration and conversion with growth or spiritual wastage.

The above is clearly seen in Jesus' truth:

Mark 4:10-12 – When he was alone, the Twelve and the others around him asked him about the parables. He told them, “The secret of the kingdom of God has been given to you. But to those on the outside everything is said in parables so that,

“they may be ever seeing but never perceiving, and ever hearing but never understanding; otherwise they might turn and be forgiven!” (NIV)

The above passage is a quote from Isaiah 6:9-10.

Listening requires action or dismissal. Action in a growing heart “sees”, thus spiritually perceiving, accepting and growing. Action in a growing heart “hears”, thus spiritually understands, knows and

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

grows. Here the elect person is given by the Father to the Son with the faith of Jesus (Romans 3:22). Also see John 5:24; 6:37, 39, 40, 44, 65; Ephesians 1:4; 2:4-9, quoted later.

Also in this passage (Mark 4:10-12) above, Jesus teaches clearly that when truth is dismissed there is spiritual wastage – and this reality is also clearly seen in the work by Sanders quoted as he discusses Murray later in this work. I believe liberalism is a friend of the world, but the world is not a friend of God. Those regenerated, as seen from the references in the Gospel of John and the letter to the Ephesians, have a new attitude – faithful, diligent, watchful, loving and obedient servants of Jesus.

I referred to Matthew 13:31-52 above, which expands Mark 4:30-32, where our Lord Jesus teaches further on our attitude of faithfulness and obedience. In His parable of the mustard seed we are reminded that the devil is an evil imitator. I am guided by the Holy Spirit to see the parable as a sort of irony. Jesus refers to the bush as a “tree”. Really it is only a plant. Is this “tree” insignificant? Is there a question of growth? Is this truth from Jesus emphasising false growth? Is this parable simply about the error of and in a “worldly church”?

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Is this parable about false growth in a church that sees itself as a “world power”? Agreeing with the ways of this evil world.

Remember in Mark 4:4 (please read it) that the “birds” ate the seed on the path. The birds are “sons of the evil one”. When in context, this truly relates to the “birds” in the branches of the mustard bush – the mustard plant – a spiritually insignificant “church” with false growth.

Indeed there are false “Christians” in all “branches” of the church; in fact, some churches are false – there are false leaders – liberal church leaders who will have to stand before Jesus when they die!

When we refer to Matthew 13:33 above, we see the Lord Jesus’ truth about the parable of the yeast. In this reference yeast refers to false doctrine. It is a symbol of evil or corruption. Jesus is teaching that sin, like yeast, quickly grows and quickly corrupts. Just as there were false prophets in the Old Covenant (Testament) as Scripture teaches:

“How can you say, ‘we are wise, for we have the law of the LORD,’ when actually the lying pen of the scribes has handled it falsely.” (Jeremiah 8:8)

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“The Lord says:

These people come near to me with their mouth and honour me with their lips, but their hearts are far from me. Their worship of me is made up only of rules taught by me.”

The apostle Paul in the New Covenant, guided by the Holy Spirit, recorded these truths for us:

2 Tim 4:1-8 - In the presence of God and of Christ Jesus, who will judge the living and the dead, and in view of his appearing and his kingdom, I give you this charge: Preach the word; be prepared in season and out of season; correct, rebuke and encourage – with great patience and careful instruction. For the time will come when people will not put up with sound doctrine. Instead, to suit their own desires, they will gather around them to hear. They will turn their ears away from the truth and turn aside to myths. But you, keeping your head in all situations, endure hardship, do the work of an evangelist, discharge all the duties of your ministry.

For I am already being poured out like a drink offering, and the time for my departure is near. I have fought the good fight,

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

I have finished the race, I have kept the faith. Now there is in store for me the crown of righteousness, which the Lord, the righteous Judge, will award to me on that day – and not only to me, but also to all who have longed for his appearing. (NIV)

It is a sad reality, given the truths discussed so far, that there are fake Christians, Christian leaders, doctrine and churches where “neo-tolerance” occurs. This is based in dualism, relativism where all opinions are equal and correct. White is black and black is white. Total evil – refer to Romans 1:24f and 1 Corinthians 6:9f. There has been much debate in some churches about acceptance of same sex marriage and homosexual relations – not Biblical!

Whilst commenting on Matthew 13:31-52 it is important that I comment on the parable of the hidden treasure in the field. In this parable the field (Mt 13:38) is the world. This parable is sometimes interpreted incorrectly in that sinners “find” Jesus. However, Jesus is not lost. Before being regenerated, born-again it is the sinners who are lost. Sinners cannot ‘find’ Jesus. I have preached on several occasions of how ‘I decided to follow Jesus’ – how ‘I chose Jesus’. When regenerated over 50 years ago I initially thought this in my youth. However, I quickly realised that with growing knowledge – Jesus chose me (Ephesians 1 and 2).

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

This also confirms the truth of Romans 3:10-12.

As it is written:

“There is no one righteous, not even one; there is no one who understands; there is no one who seeks God. All have turned away, they have together become worthless; there is no one who does good, not even one.” (NIV)

As Paul’s letter to the Ephesians, mentioned above, teaches God in Jesus, His Son – the eternal second Person of YAHWEH finds us. I print Ephesians 1:3-14 for emphasis:

Praise be to God the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, who has blessed us in the heavenly realms with every spiritual blessing in Christ. For he chose us in him before the creation of the world to be holy and blameless in his sight. In love he predestined us for adoption to sonship through Jesus Christ, in accordance with his pleasure and will – to the praise of his glorious grace, which he has freely give us in the One he loves. In him we have redemption through his blood, the forgiveness of sins, in accordance with the riches of God’s grace that he lavished on us. With all wisdom and

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

understanding, he made known to us the mystery of his will according to his good pleasure, which he purposed in Christ, to be put into effect when the times reach their fulfilment – to bring unity to all things in heaven and on earth under Christ.

In him we were also chosen, having been predestined according to the plan of him who works out everything in conformity with the purpose of his will, in order that we, who were the first to put our hope in Christ, might be for the praise of his glory. Any you also were included in Christ when you heard the message of truth, the gospel of your salvation. When you believed, you were marked in him with a seal, the promised Holy Spirit, who is a deposit guaranteeing our inheritance until the redemption of those who are God's possession – to the praise of his glory. (NIV)

At this point of emphasis it is important to include these truths:

John 5:24 – “Very truly I tell you, whoever hears my word and believes him who sent me has eternal life and will not be judged but has crossed over from death to life. (NIV)

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

John 6:37 – All those the Father gives me will come to me, and whoever comes to me I will never drive away. (NIV)

John 6:39 – And this is the will of him who sent me, that I shall lose none of all those he has given me, but raise them up on the last day. (NIV)

John 6:40 – For my Father’s will is that everyone who looks to the Son and believes in him shall have eternal life, and I will raise them up at that last day.” (NIV)

John 6:44 – “No one can come to me unless the Father who sent me draws them, and I will raise them up at the last day. (NIV)

John 6:65 – He went on to say, “this is why I told you that no-one can come to me unless the father has enabled them.” (NIV)

What a joy! Jesus finds us. We will be part of His hidden treasure. God’s treasured possession was the house of Israel – His remnant.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Exodus 19:3-5 – Then Moses went up to God, and the LORD called to him from the mountain and said, “This is what you are to say to the descendant of Jacob and what you are to tell the people of Israel: ‘You yourselves have seen what I did in Egypt, and how I carried you on eagle’s wings and brought you to myself. Now if you obey me fully and keep my covenant, then out of all nations you will be my treasured possession. Although the whole earth is mine, (NIV)

Psalm 135:4 – For the LORD has chosen Jacob to be his own, Israel to be his treasured possession. (NIV)

And we as Gentiles, regenerated and born-again, will be ‘grafted in’.

Romans 11:24-26 – After all, if you were cut out of an olive tree that is wild by nature, and contrary to nature were grafted in to a cultivated olive tree, how much more readily will these, the natural branches, be grafted into their own olive tree! (NIV)

Finally, in this section on parables it is important to briefly comment on the parable of the pearl. A pearl is not like a diamond which can be cut. The pearl represents the church. It cannot be cut – it

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

will be destroyed. It grows unseen by the world. The oyster shell is dark like the world but the pearl, like the church, can be small or large, light or darkness.

So, returning to the opening thoughts of Murray I will restate them for emphasis in comparing liberalism to evangelicalism and the theological divide.

I repeat the following for emphasis:

This author notes comments by the Rev Robert J Sanders, Ph D, on the work “Evangelism Divided” by Iain H Murray (1) who lists all footnotes as End Notes.

“In regard to the fundamental theological divide within Protestantism, Murray rightly begins with Schleiermacher’s liberal theology. He describes this theology and notes its powerful effect on the church. Even as early as 1857, a leading evangelical, Charles Hodge, thought that some two-thirds of Germany and about the same for England were under the sway of Schleiermacher’s liberalism. Hodge defined the difference between liberalism and evangelicalism as follows.

The idea that Christianity is a form of feeling, a life, and not a system of doctrine, is contrary to the faith of all Christians.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Christianity has always had a creed. A man who believes certain doctrines is a Christian. (2)

In 1924, Fosdick, a leading liberal, gave a similar description of the difference between liberalism and evangelicalism.

Today there are two parties in the churches. They are active in controversy now, and every day their consciousness of difference becomes more sharp and clear. The crux of their conflict lies at this point: one party thinks that the essence of Christianity is its original mental frameworks; the other party is convinced that the essence of Christianity is its abiding experiences. (3)

In response to liberalism, evangelicals banded together to promote their version of Christian truth. As heirs of the Reformation, they rejected the liberal heresy and refused to co-operate with liberals in various Christian endeavours such as conferences, evangelical campaigns, and ecumenical discussions. For the most part, however, very few evangelicals left the Church of England. They stayed because they recognized that the church still maintained its Reformation formularies (the Articles of Religion), and these documents were sufficient to uphold the integrity of the church.

You will notice in the re-statement of Murray's quote by Charles Hodge, that Hodge states "Christianity always had a creed." In the true evangelical church this is true. Creeds are based on fundamental doctrine. Many liberals do not like the term 'fundamental'. They see 'fundamentalists' as too strict, intolerant and rule orientated. However, the true meaning is to strictly adhere to traditional orthodox tenets. Orthodox here simply means right

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

or true opinion based on true Biblical doctrine. Not false. A discussion on doctrine will follow later but first I wish to look at the creeds.

CHAPTER 2

CREEDS OF THE EVANGELICAL CHURCH

The Apostles' Creed

I believe in God, the Father Almighty,
maker of heaven and earth;
And in Jesus Christ, his only Son our Lord,
who was conceived by the Holy Spirit,
born of the virgin Mary,
suffered under Pontius Pilate,
was crucified, dead, and buried.
He descended into hell.
The third day he rose again from the dead.
He ascended into heaven,
and is seated at the right hand of god the Father almighty;
from there he shall come to judge the living and the dead.
I believe in the Holy Spirit;
the holy catholic church;
the communion of saints
the forgiveness of sins;
the resurrection of the body,
and the life everlasting. Amen.

The Nicene Creed

We believe in one God,
the Father, the Almighty,
maker of heaven and earth,

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

of all that is, seen and unseen.

We believe in one Lord, Jesus Christ,
the only Son of God,
eternally begotten of the Father,
God from God, Light from Light,
true God from true God,
begotten, not made,
of one Being with the Father.
Through him all things were made.
For us men and for our salvation
he came down from heaven:
by the power of the Holy Spirit
he was incarnate of the Virgin Mary, and became man.
For our sake he was crucified under Pontius Pilate;
he suffered death and was buried.
On the third day he rose again
In accordance with the Scriptures;
He ascended into heaven and is seated at the right hand of the
Father.
He will come again in glory to judge the living and the dead, and
his kingdom will have no end.
We believe in the Holy Spirit, the Lord, the giver of life, who
proceeds from the Father and the Son.
With the Father and the Son he is worshipped and glorified.
He has spoken through the Prophets.
We believe in one holy catholic and apostolic Church.
We acknowledge one baptism for the forgiveness of sins.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

We look for the resurrection of the dead, and the life of the world to come. Amen.

The Creed of Saint Athanasius

Whosoever will be saved: before all things it is necessary that he hold the Catholick Faith.

Which Faith except every one do keep whole and undefiled: without doubt he shall perish everlastingly.

And the Catholick Faith is this: That we worship one God in Trinity, and Trinity in Unity;

Neither confounding the Persons: nor dividing the Substance.

For there is one Person of the Father, another of the Son: and another of the Holy Ghost.

But the Godhead of the Father, of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, is all one: the Glory equal, the Majesty co-eternal.

Such as the Father is, such is the Son: and such is the Holy Ghost.

The Father uncreate, the Son uncreate: and the Holy Ghost uncreate.

The Father incomprehensible, the Son incomprehensible: and the Holy Ghost incomprehensible.

The Father eternal, the Son eternal: and the Holy Ghost eternal.

And yet they are not three eternal: but one eternal.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

As also there are not three incomprehensibles, nor three uncreated: but one uncreated, and one incomprehensible.

So likewise the Father is Almighty, the Son Almighty: and the Holy Ghost Almighty.

And yet they are not three Almighties: but one Almighty.

So the Father is God, the Son is God: and the Holy Ghost is God.

And yet they are not three Gods: but one God.

So likewise the Father is Lord, the Son Lord: and the Holy Ghost Lord.

And yet not three Lords: but one Lord.

For like as we are compelled by the Christian verity: to acknowledge every Person by himself to be God and Lord;

So are we forbidden by the Catholick Religion: to say there be three Gods, or three Lords.

The Father is made of none: neither created, nor begotten.

The Son is of the Father alone: not made, nor created, but begotten.

The Holy Ghost is of the Father and of the Son: neither made, nor created, nor begotten, but proceeding.

So there is one Father, not three Fathers; one Son, not three Sons: one Holy Ghost, not three Holy Ghosts.

And in this Trinity none is afore, or after other: none is greater, or less than another;

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

But the whole three Persons are co-eternal together: and co-equal.

So that in all things, as is aforesaid: the Unity in Trinity, and the Trinity in Unity is to be worshipped.

He therefore that will be saved: must thus think of the Trinity.

Furthermore it is necessary to everlasting salvation: that he also believe rightly the Incarnation of our Lord Jesus Christ.

For the right Faith is that we believe and confess: that our Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, is God and Man;

God, of the Substance of the Father, begotten before the worlds: and Man, of the Substance of his Mother, born in the world;

Perfect God, and Perfect Man: of a reasonable soul and human flesh subsisting;

Equal to the Father, as touching his Godhead: and inferior to the Father, as touching his Manhood.

Who although he be God and Man: yet he is not two, but one Christ;

One, not by conversion of the Godhead into flesh: but by taking of the Manhood into God;

One altogether, not by confusion of Substance: but by unity of Person.

For as the reasonable soul and flesh is one man: so God and Man is one Christ.

Who suffered for our salvation: descended into hell, rose again the third day from the dead.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

He ascended into heaven, he sitteth on the right hand of the Father, God Almighty: from whence he shall come to judge the quick and the dead.

At whose coming all men shall rise again with their bodies: and shall give account for their own works.

And they that have done good shall go into life everlasting: and they that have done evil into everlasting fire.

This is the Catholick Faith: which except a man believe faithfully, he cannot be saved.

Glory be to the Father, and to the Son: and to the Holy Ghost;

As it was in the beginning, is now, and ever shall be: world without end. Amen.

As can be seen, the Creeds were formulated from biblical truth. Sound doctrine. True born-again, regenerated Christians formulated them. Before looking at the Biblical truth of doctrine it is important that I define the doctrine of regeneration and conversion.

CHAPTER 3

GOD'S ELECTION AND ASSURANCE

Following the previous discussions on evangelism and the creeds focus needs to be made to God's election and assurance to His elect chosen and regenerated.

In a previous dissertation "Our Righteousness and Wisdom Completely in Jesus" by Graham J Whelan¹ reference was made to the truth:

"There is no-one righteous, not even one; there is no-one who understands, no-one who seeks God. All have turned away...no-one does good, not even one." (Rom 3:10-12)

The aim of the dissertation was to prove that Jesus is the wisdom that is righteousness, holiness and redemption of the elect and that

¹ Graham John Whelan, "Our Righteousness and Wisdom Completely in Jesus". (Ph D Diss, International Seminary, Plymouth, Fla, 2005), 135-224

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

He is the eternal Son of God revealed to those elect foreordained and predestined as elect by God.

It is important to understand effectual calling, regeneration and conversion.

Jesus is the eternal Living Word and second Person of YAHWEH (LORD) (Jn 1:1-18; Col 1:15-20). The focus was on the passage 1 Cor 1:18-31 supported by the truth of Luke 24:27 and associated Old Testament references. One Old Testament truth is from Isaiah 6 which truly highlights God's future redemptive work of salvation by the grace of Jesus. (Is 6:8-10; cf Is 29:18; 35:5):

“...Tell this people: ‘Be ever hearing but never understanding; be ever seeing, but never perceiving...’ (Is 6:9)

This author is greatly encouraged by this passage and initially comments on the words in verse 8:

“Then I heard the voice of the Lord saying ‘whom shall I send? And who will go for us?’” (Is 6:8).

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

This author suggests that these words – voice of the “Lord” – were spoken by Jesus. Jesus the eternal second Person of YAHWEH (LORD) – Jesus the eternal Living Word (Jn 1:1). This author also emphasises this is an important point as Scripture always encourages us to listen to Jesus (Dt 18:15; Mk 9:7; Jn 10:27). Further in the parable of the sower (Mk 4:1-25), Jesus quotes the words from Isaiah spoken to Isaiah seven hundred years before He came on His mission to save. He teaches in a parable which is an illustration of spiritual responsiveness. And Jesus teaches that to “see” highlights the opening of spiritual eyes which were blind by nature, to the truth of God, and allowing the elect to “see” the spiritual truth which, in turn, emphasises the reality that they have “heard”- that they have received the spiritual illumination through the Holy Spirit who alone can open spiritually blind eyes.

Election the Positive Side of Predestination

One of the key areas of Theology examined in the dissertation quoted above concerned the doctrine of predestination focusing on the positive side of predestination, namely election.

What does Scripture teach? As previously discussed, God's election has to do with His initiating His purposes of redeeming, that is saving, His people. It is to do with His eternal plan for His Son Jesus to "save His people from their sins" (Mt 1:21; Lk 19:10; Jn 3:16; 1 Pet 1:20). This plan of God, when realised, emphasises His purpose – the redemption of the elect. The latter verse highlights the truth that Jesus was to be eternal Saviour even before the foundation of the world. A clear expression of the truth of Jesus in His eternity acting in our history – "His story" (Jn 14:6). So the focus here is on what Scripture says concerning God's choosing of the lost for eternal life – those lost He chooses as sovereign God. Simply stated Jesus causes the change in the life of the elect (1 Pet 3:18) – "The bringing".

This author initially examines the truth of:

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“In Him (Jesus) was life, and that life was the light of men.” (John 1:4).

This verse establishes God’s purpose of election and salvation giving life and light to those elect. This author comments on this verse that in reality the person elect had to be born, to in fact have life, and indeed see the light. This author further has examined the Fall and thus the “darkness” of independence rather than dependence, of faithlessness rather than faithfulness and disobedience rather than obedience which is the reality of what Paul emphasises in Romans 3:10-12 as already discussed earlier. Before the person chosen is regenerated there is alienation from God and the prospect of eternal death.

This is all highlighted by John in his words “darkness” and “light”. In Jesus we see the light – that spiritual illumination and the life – life which is eternal by grace through faith given to the elect. Now focussing on Erickson’s logical order conversion, regeneration John 1:1-18 is very clear that regeneration comes before conversion. This is seen:

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“Yet to all who received Him, to those who believed in His name, He gave the right to become children of God – children born not of human descent, nor of human decision or a husband’s will, but born of God.”

This author reasons that this truth must be understood in conjunction with the truth from Romans 3:10-12, that is only perceived after regeneration when faith is given. There is no “knowing” or “growing” until after regeneration. Keeping on believing occurs after the person elect knows, is truly sorry for their sin after repenting, and in obedience keeps on believing through God’s sovereign strength. This author has said there is no “knowing” or “growing” until after regeneration. Here this “knowing” refers to the true definition of “knowing” – a true perception of certainty – that absolute conviction and assurance of salvation through a positional change – a change instituted by Jesus in regeneration. It is important that this author emphasises here the elect’s assurance – that real hope of salvation given by Jesus to each of His elect. This knowledge of assurance is so important that this author has included the truths of assurance and acceptance given in Scripture. Thus, we as elect are:

- God’s children (Jn 1:12)

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

- Friends of Jesus (Jn 15:15)
- Justified – declared righteous in Jesus (Rom 5:1)
- Free from condemnation for now and eternity (Rom 8:1-2)
- Knowing all things work together for the good of the elect (Rom 8:28)
- Free from all charges (Rom 8:33-34)
- Not ever separated from the love of God (Rom 8:35)
- United with Jesus and one in the Holy Spirit (1 Cor 6:17)
- Brought with a price and belonging to Jesus (1 Cor 6:20)
- A member of Jesus' Body (1 Cor 12:27)
- Anointed and sealed by God (2 Cor 1:21) (Eph 1)
- Declared a saint (Eph 1:1)
- Adopted as God's children (Eph 1:5)
- Having direct access to Father through Holy Spirit (Eph 2:15)
- Good work in Jesus perfected (Phil 1:6)
- Citizens of Heaven (Phil 3:20)
- Redeemed and forgiven (Col 1:14)
- Complete in Jesus (Col 2:10)
- Hidden with Jesus in God (Col 3:3)
- Having a Spirit of power, love and a sound mind (2 Tim 1:7)

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

- Knowing we have grace and mercy in time of need (Heb 4:16)
- Born of God, Satan unable to touch us (1 Jn 5:18),

and knowing the assurances that are taught in 1 John.

This author has stated that there is no real “knowing” or “growing” in Jesus until after regeneration. This true “knowing” and “growing” is only possible after being born again and being filled initially and continually with the Holy Spirit. I make a comment here about “being filled initially and continually with the Holy Spirit” above. This comment is in relation to John 3:16 when related to the truth of romans 3:22; Ephesians 1:4; 2:5-8.

John 3:16 (NIV): “For God so loved the world that he gave is one and only Son that whoever believes in him shall not perish but have eternal life.”

In context with the references above, I believe the evangelical intention of Jesus was: “For God so loved the world (His elect, remnant from all nations) that He gave His One and only Son that everyone (given by the Father to the Son, given faith of Jesus as referenced above) who believes (keeps on believing – our process

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

of conversion) in Him shall not perish but have eternal life.” This suggestion is discussed in the discussions on doctrine in this work. I acknowledge that there are individuals who have been exposed to the truth of the Gospel but until regenerated will not know or grow. The truth is that they have heard the Gospel as Paul teaches:

“How, then, can they call on the One they have not believed in? How can they believe in the One of whom they have not heard? And how can they hear without someone preaching to them?consequently, faith comes from hearing the message, and the message is heard through the word of Christ. (Rom 10:14-17).

This could be seen as part of effectual calling, that is, God is sowing the seed for the person who “hears” to then respond to the Gospel. Consider two individuals hearing the same message. One responds, the other doesn't. For one there is regeneration, the person acknowledged the change – he or she hears – understands for the first time – acknowledges the faith given. The process of conversion which is the response to regeneration begins. Same message, same Gospel, yet different responses. This author also

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

remembers the truth of God's sovereign promise and work (Jer 31:31-34) – God gives a new heart.

To this author the initial focus always comes back to Paul's truth in Romans 3:10-12 above. The significance of Paul's truth is highlighted by this author by the word "...no-one can ...come to God on their own" and "...salvation in no way depends on humans or what they do ..."

These truths are commented on later.

God's Initiative Regeneration – Man's Response Conversion

This author has to acknowledge the sovereignty of God and that of man, as a result of the Fall, has no ability to render himself righteous or seek God on his own – indeed mankind cannot. (Rom 3:10-12). This author cannot overemphasise these verses – they are critical to the truth of man's condition. As the gift of new birth "born of God" occurs first this order is specific – thus regeneration then conversion. It is important for this author to emphasise that in our finite minds we must never devalue the sovereignty of God. You might ask the question – Would that ever be the case? Would Christians or Christian theologians ever do that? Well, from this author's study – yes. This is why this author has included the chapters, "Sovereignty of God" and "Deity of Christ" from the previous dissertation. Also, especially noting the section "Unbelieving Liberal Misconceptions". In Chapter 4 – The Fall was total – body, mind and spirit were all affected. When we use our minds to reason, we are using reason that now is influenced by sin. Thus the Fall has implications for Erickson's logical order and this is the subject of this whole discussion.

So the reality is that everything is God's initiative. This author focuses on regeneration then conversion as the order. This is reinforced by John's truth:

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“(Father) ...has made Him known” (John 1:18).

Here the Greek is translated ‘that one (ie Father) declared Him (Jesus)’, where ‘declare’ highlights spiritual illumination that the spiritually blind heart through the grace of Jesus, will see Jesus. Jesus causes the change. This is further noted in John 6:40 where ‘sees’ (RSV) is from the Greek ‘beholding’ reflecting the heart regenerated in order to be obedient to Jesus through faith given at regeneration. Here this author emphasises that the grace offered by Jesus to the elect is not of human choosing. Again Jesus causes the change. This is confirmed by Jesus’ truth (Jn 2:24-25) explaining the human condition as a result of the Fall, as discussed above, namely total inability to understand without being born from above. God’s election of His chosen is His initiative as sovereign God – His initiative alone.

The Father’s authority to the Son (Jn 15:9-10) concerning their initiative in election is confirmed in the truth of the Gospel of John. Thus when John records about the truth of how Jesus teaches Nicodemus, we are drawn to the reality “... No one can see the Kingdom of God unless he is born again.” (Jn 3:3). The Greek for this ‘born again’ focuses on ‘birth from above’ where the Greek,

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

according to Souter emphasises the male aspect 'beget' defining the divine initiative of the Father through the Son, the only Saviour. The truth of Acts 4:12 is noted again. Thus a further focus on John 5:21 concerning the giving of life.

“For just as the Father raises the dead and gives them life, even so the Son gives life to whom He is pleased to give it.”
(Jn 5:21).

Here in this verse it is clear that the theology refers to the gift of Jesus as He is life, of Him, in Him and the abundant life only possible through Him. This is given now (literally in the ‘now’ – the moment of regeneration which is instantaneous) to the elect, in addition to the eternal life through our future resurrection. It is also clear being dead in sin through the Fall indicates a total inability on behalf of humanity and the individuals’ elect to do anything for themselves. Hence this author’s original comments in the previous dissertation, and above in this work concerning liberal self-righteousness and self-centredness and the liberal scholarly and non-scholarly error of universalism revisited later.

Human Inability Taken Seriously

The disastrous reality of human inability when compared to the sovereignty of God is mind blowing. Thus also even though it sounds redundant it's important that this author again emphasises our human inability in context of the truth of Romans 3:10-12. Not fully acknowledging this truth of the sovereignty of God has led many into liberal error. This is discussed in the section "Unbelieving Liberal Misconceptions" in Chapter 4. Thus as this author further focuses on election. Firstly there are the amazing verses concerning Jesus the bread of life (Jn 6:35) where Jesus sustains those chosen. This truth is evident as Jesus continues to make clearer that the Father does the giving and Jesus does the sustaining when they are born again and come to Him:

"All that the Father gives me will come to me, and whoever comes to me I will never drive away." (Jn 6:37)

The elect are regenerated then they know and answer His call as the process of conversion begins. To this author the effectual call is part of the "hearing" and "sealing" of regeneration (Eph 1:11, 13). This discussion touches on this truth in several places that the elect

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

are given by the Father to the Son. The Father enables His chosen to answer the call:

“He went on to say, ‘This is why I told you that no-one can come to me unless the Father has enabled him.’” (Jn 6:65)

Once having been regenerated (the enabling), the elect can then respond to the call (the response and beginning of the process of conversion). These insights are also discussed further when examining the Westminster Confession and the Doctrine of Effectual Calling and Grace.

REGENERATION

God’s work
Sovereignly given
Eternal life
One time
Inward work
Complete at once

as opposed to

CONVERSION

Man’s response
Conditional
Fellowship
Repeated
Outward evidence
Growth

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

In God's work of regeneration the person chosen – each elect – is unable of themselves to come to Jesus unless through regeneration each is enabled. The regenerated person does not realise what is happening until he/she has been enlightened later on. Unless one is born – one cannot breathe, cannot know, cannot perceive. This is an emphasis discussed with this author by The Reverend Silas Horton - minister to this author during his youth and his mentor on a continuing basis. Jesus, through the Father's authority, gives knowledge and spiritual illumination to "see" Jesus.

"For my Father's will is that for everyone who looks (sees, RSV) to the Son and believes in Him shall have eternal life, and I will raise him up at the last day. (Jn 6:40).

In other words, not only does a person have to have knowledge of Jesus' actions on their behalf, they also have to perceive the significance of those actions. Not all witnesses to Jesus' death and resurrection believed in Him as Lord and Saviour. Seeing and believing here confirms regeneration in the mind of the elect. The regenerated person knows of the change as a result of responding to the call. At this stage each person may not be aware that each response was pre-ordained. The faith each has been given has

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

prompted each one to accept God's invitation. This is all confirming the truth that regeneration, which includes God's effectual call, is the work of God. Take, for example, two people listening to the same sermon/message. One responds, the other doesn't. God has worked in the heart of the one who has responded. The regenerated person is then able to be converted from a life without God at the centre, to a life with God as the central focus of their being. As the new believer matures he/she becomes aware that God has chosen them from the beginning. The new believer matures and grows – the conversion process has begun – they now know they have the mind of Christ (1 Cor 2:16; 1 Jn 2:20-22, 27). The new believer eventually comes to the realisation through the Holy Spirit, that they did not do the original choosing:

“You did not choose me, but I chose you and appointed you to go and bear fruit – fruit that will last.” (Jn 15:16 NIV).

This highlights and emphasises what has been said before – this is God's sovereignty in action – God's initiative in election. The truth is that belonging to Jesus is His initiative alone. Jesus causes the change. This is a great comfort to the elect when they come to that point of regeneration where they “know” they belong and are

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

His – a situation that could not be “known” before God called or drew them to Himself in regeneration:

“...but you do not believe because you are not my sheep. My sheep listen to my voice; I know them, and they follow me ...”
(Jn 10:26-27).

This clearly points to the teaching that they cannot hear because they have not been born again. (Jn 3:3).

I turn to the Westminster Confession 10:1. This is theologically correct. In my opinion it needs further definitions.

Westminster Confession 10:1

“All those whom God hath predestined unto life, and those only, He is pleased, in His appointed and accepted time, effectually to call, by His word and Spirit, out of the state of sin and death, in which they are by nature, to grace and salvation, by Jesus Christ; enlightening their minds spiritually and savingly to understand things of God, taking away their heart of stone, and giving unto them a heart of flesh; renewing their wills, and, by His almighty power, determining them to that

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

which is good, and effectually drawing them to Jesus Christ; yet so, as they come most freely, being made willing by His grace.”

I see some simple additions for further definition. Firstly, concerning the words “predestined unto life...” a change to “predestined, that is elected, unto life.” Election needs to be defined here as the positive side of predestination. Secondly, the terms “regeneration” and “conversion” are not mentioned. I am suggesting an alternative to 10.1, which, of course, could be read with 10.1 for definition. I re-state for emphasis that whilst there is no real dispute with the doctrine of Effectual Calling and Grace defined by the Section of the Westminster Confession above, the terms “regeneration” and “conversion” are not mentioned. These define the time perspective. This author’s discussion is focused on God’s timing. This author disagrees with Erickson’s logical order. This author suggests again that the correct order is regeneration, which includes the Doctrine of Effectual Calling and Grace which happens concurrently within God’s sovereignty in regeneration of the person elect, then the process of conversion. We must never forget that it is God’s sovereignty. Regeneration is God’s initiative and work – that work of calling and drawing the person elect and having each one born from above. Then each knows. Conversion

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

comes as a response to God's sovereign regenerating call. Conversion is the point in which the elect begins to grow to be more like Jesus. Conversion is response and growth.

Commentary on Westminster Confession 10.1

Mankind as the Bible teaches in their fallen nature that is in sin, has no righteousness of their own; all have turned, rejected the One True God, Father Son and Holy Spirit and have no ability or inclination to seek or understand or know God. In His wisdom, mercy and foreknowledge, God from eternity has chosen his elect in their time. God choosing before the world began, knowing each of His elect instantaneously regenerates, calls and draws – these elements occurring simultaneously, each of His to Himself, each still not knowing until each is regenerated, born again, and given His Holy Spirit on regeneration. Each now having the mind of Jesus is through the faith given by Jesus justified and saved and given knowledge to see and understand all things of God through their anointing with the Holy Spirit; and become obedient to all God's commands given through the Spirit's guidance and power and grow more like Jesus thus continuing the process of conversion.

CHAPTER 4

THE THIRTY NINE ARTICLES

In Chapter 1 I quoted Murray's comments by Charles Hodge about belief in the Creed and true Biblical doctrine. In the tradition, not traditionalism, of the Bible believing church there was formulated in 1562 in England the Articles of Religion – articles of belief based on Bible truth.

These Articles were agreed upon by the Archbishops, Bishops and Clergy of the provinces of Canterbury and York in London.

These Articles are:

Article I

Of Faith in the Holy Trinity

There is but one living and true God, everlasting, without body, parts, or passions; of infinite power, wisdom, and goodness; the Maker, and Preserver of all things both visible and invisible. And in unity of this Godhead there be three Persons, of one substance, power, and eternity; the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost.

Article II

Of the Word or Son of God, which was made very Man

The Son, which is the Word of the Father, begotten from everlasting of the Father, the very and eternal God, and of one

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

substance with the Father, took Man's nature in the womb of the blessed Virgin, of her substance: so that two whole and perfect Natures, that is to say, the Godhead and Manhood, were joined together in one Person, never to be divided, whereof is one Christ, very God, and very Man; who truly suffered, was crucified, dead, and buried, to reconcile His Father to us, and to be a sacrifice, not only for original guilt, but also for all actual sins of men.

Article III

Of the going down of Christ into Hell

As Christ died for us, and was buried, so also is it to be believed, that he went down into Hell.

Article IV

Of the Resurrection of Christ

Christ did truly rise again from death, and took again his body, with flesh, bones, and all things appertaining to the perfection of Man's nature; wherewith he ascended into Heaven, and there sitteth, until he return to judge all Men at the last day.

Article V

Of the Holy Ghost

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

The Holy Ghost, proceeding from the Father and the Son, is of one substance, majesty, and glory, with the Father and the Son, very and eternal God.

Article VI

Of the Sufficiency of the holy Scriptures for salvation

Holy Scripture containeth all things necessary to salvation: so that whatsoever is not read therein, nor may be proved thereby, is not to be required of any man, that it should be believed as an article of the Faith, or be thought requisite or necessary to salvation. In the name of the holy Scripture, we do understand those Canonical books of the Old and New Testament, of whose authority was never any doubt in the Church.

Of the Names and Number of the Canonical Books

Genesis

Exodus

Leviticus

Numbers

Deuteronomy

Joshua

Judges

Ruth

The First Book of Samuel

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

The Second Book of Samuel

The First Book of Kings

The Second Book of Kings

The First Book of Chronicles

The Second Book of Chronicles

The First Book of Esdras

The Second Book of Esdras

The Book of Esther

The Book of Job

The Psalms

The Proverbs

Ecclesiastes or Preacher

Cantica, or Songs of Solomon

Four Prophets the greater

Twelve Prophets the less

And the other Books (as Hierome saith) the Church doth read for example of life and instruction of manners; but yet doth it not apply them to establish any doctrine; such are these following:

The Third Book of Esdras

The Fourth Book of Esdras

The Book of Tobias

The Book of Judith

The rest of the Book of Esther

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

The Book of Wisdom

Jesus the Son of Sirach

Baruch the Prophet

The Song of the Three Children

The Story of Susanna

Of Bel and the Dragon

The Prayer of Manasses

The First Book of Maccabees

The Second Book of Maccabees

All the Books of the New Testament, as they are commonly received, we do receive, and account them Canonical.

Article VII

Of the Old Testament

The Old Testament is not contrary to the New: for both in the Old and New Testament everlasting life is offered to Mankind by Christ, who is the only Mediator between God and Man, being both God and Man. Wherefore there are not to be heard, which feign that the old Fathers did look only for transitory promises. Although the Law given from God by Moses, as touching Ceremonies and Rites, do not bind Christian men, nor the Civil precepts thereof ought of necessity to be received in any commonwealth; yet, notwithstanding, no Christian man

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

whatsoever is free from the obedience of the Commandments which are called Moral.

Article VIII

Of the Three Creeds

The Three Creeds, Nicene Creed, Athanasius's Creed, and that which is commonly called the Apostles' Creed, ought thoroughly to be received and believed: for they may be proved by most certain warrants of holy Scripture.

Article IX

Of Original or Birth-Sin

Original Sin standeth not in the following of Adam, (as the Pelagians do vainly talk), but it is the fault and corruption of the Nature of every man, that naturally is ingendered of the offspring of Adam; whereby man is very far gone from original righteousness, and is of his own nature inclined to evil, so that the flesh lusteth always contrary to the spirit; and therefore in every person born into this world, it deserveth God's wrath and damnation. And this infection of nature doth remain, yea, in them that are regenerated; whereby the lust of the flesh, called in Greek, *phronema sarkos*, which some do expound the wisdom, some sensuality, some the affection, some the desire, of the

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

flesh, is not subject to the Law of God. And although there is no condemnation for them that believe and are baptized, yet the Apostle doth confess, that concupiscence and lust hath of itself the nature of sin.

Article X

Of Free-Will

The condition of Man after the fall of Adam is such, that he cannot turn and prepare himself, by his own natural strength and good works, to faith, and calling upon God: Wherefore we have no power to do good works pleasant and acceptable to God, without the grace of God by Christ preventing us, that we may have a good will, and working with us, when we have that good will.

Article XI

Of the Justification of Man

We are accounted righteous before God, only for the merit of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ by Faith, and not for our own works or deservings: Wherefore, that we are justified by Faith only is a most wholesome Doctrine, and very full of comfort, as more largely is expressed in the Homily of Justification.

Article XII

Of Good Works

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Albeit that Good Works, which are the fruits of Faith, and follow after Justification, cannot put away our sins, and endure the severity of God's Judgement; yet are they pleasing and acceptable to God in Christ, and do spring out necessarily of a true and lively Faith; insomuch that by them a lively Faith may be as evidently known as a tree discerned by the fruit.

Article XIII

Of Works before Justification

Works done before the grace of Christ, and the Inspiration of his Spirit, are not pleasant to God, forasmuch as they spring not of faith in Jesus Christ, neither do they make men meet to receive grace, or (as the School-authors say) deserve grace of congruity: yea, rather, for that they are not done as God hath willed and commanded them to be done, we doubt not but they have the nature of sin.

Article XIV

Of Works of Supererogation

Voluntary Works besides, over, and above, God's Commandments, which they call Works of Supererogation, cannot be taught without arrogancy and impiety: for by them men do declare, that they do not only render unto God as much as they are bound to do, but that they do more for his sake, than of

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

bounden duty is required: whereas Christ saith plainly, When ye have done all that are commanded to you, say, We are unprofitable servants.

Article XV

Of Christ alone without Sin

Christ in the truth of our nature was made like unto us in all things, sin only except, from which he was clearly void, both in his flesh, and in his spirit. He came to be the Lamb without spot, who, by sacrifice of himself once made, should take away the sins of the world, and sin, as Saint John saith, was not in him. But all we the rest, although baptized, and born again in Christ, yet offend in many things; and if we say we have no sin, we deceive ourselves, and the truth is not in us.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Article XVI

Of Sin after Baptism

Not every deadly sin willingly committed after Baptism is sin against the Holy Ghost, and unpardonable. Wherefore the grant of repentance is not to be denied to such as fall into sin after Baptism. After we have received the Holy Ghost, we may depart from grace given, and fall into sin, and by the grace of God we may arise again, and amend our lives. And therefore they are to be condemned, which say, they can no more sin as long as they live here, or deny the place of forgiveness to such as truly repent.

Article XVII

Of Predestination and Election

Predestination to Life is the everlasting purpose of God, whereby (before the foundations of the world were laid) he hath constantly decreed by his counsel secret to us, to deliver from curse and damnation those whom he hath chosen in Christ out of mankind, and to bring them by Christ to everlasting salvation, as vessels made to honour. Wherefore, they which be endued with so excellent a benefit of God be called according to God's purpose by his Spirit working in due season: they through Grace obey the calling: they be justified freely: they be made sons of God by adoption: they be made like the image of his only-begotten Son

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Jesus Christ: they walk religiously in good works, and at length, by God's mercy, they attain to everlasting felicity.

As the godly consideration of Predestination, and our Election in Christ, is full of sweet, pleasant, and unspeakable comfort to godly persons, and such as feel in themselves the working of the Spirit of Christ, mortifying the works of the flesh, and their earthly members, and drawing up their mind to high and heavenly things, as well because it doth greatly establish and confirm their faith of eternal Salvation to be enjoyed through Christ, as because it doth fervently kindle their love towards God: So, for curious and carnal persons, lacking the Spirit of Christ, to have continually before their eyes the sentence of God's Predestination, is a most dangerous downfall, whereby the Devil doth thrust them either into desperation, or into wretchlessness of most unclean living, no less perilous than desperation.

Furthermore, we must receive God's promises in such wise, as they be generally set forth to us in holy Scripture: and, in our doings, that Will of God is to be followed, which we have expressly declared unto us in the Word of God.

Article XVIII

Of obtaining eternal Salvation only by the Name of Christ

They also are to be had accursed that presume to say, That every man shall be saved by the Law or Sect which he professeth, so

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

that he be diligent to frame his life according to that Law, and the light of Nature. For holy Scripture doth set out unto us only the Name of Jesus Christ, whereby men must be saved.

Article XIX

Of the Church

The visible Church of Christ is a congregation of faithful men, in the which the pure Word of God is preached, and the Sacraments be duly ministered according to Christ's ordinance in all those things that of necessity are requisite to the same.

As the Church of Jerusalem, Alexandria, and Antioch have erred: so also the Church of Rome hath erred, not only in their living and manner of Ceremonies, but also in matters of Faith.

Article XX

Of the Authority of the Church

The Church hath power to decree Rites or Ceremonies, and authority in Controversies of Faith: And yet it is not lawful for the Church to ordain anything contrary to God's Word written, neither may it so expound one place of Scripture, that it be repugnant to another. Wherefore, although the Church be a witness and a keeper of holy Writ, yet, as it ought not to decree any thing against the same, so besides the same ought it not to enforce any thing to be believed for necessity of Salvation.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Article XXI

Of the Authority of General Councils

General Councils may not be gathered together without the commandment and will of Princes. And when they be gathered together, (forasmuch as they be an assembly of men, whereof all be not governed with the Spirit and Word of God,) they may err, and sometimes have erred, even in things pertaining unto God. Wherefore things ordained by them as necessary to salvation have neither strength nor authority, unless it may be declared that they be taken out of holy Scripture.

Article XXII

Of Purgatory

The Romish Doctrine concerning Purgatory, Pardons, Worshipping, and Adoration as well of Images as of Reliques, and also invocation of Saints, is a fond thing vainly invented, and grounded upon no warranty of Scripture, but rather repugnant to the Word of God.

Article XXIII

Of Ministering in the Congregation

It is not lawful for any man to take upon him the office of publick preaching, or ministering the Sacraments in the Congregation, before he be lawfully called, and sent to execute the same. And

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

those we ought to judge lawfully called and sent, which be chosen and called to this work by men who have publick authority given unto them in the Congregation, to call and send Ministers into the Lord's vineyard.

Article XXIV

Of speaking in the Congregation in such a tongue as the people understandeth

It is a thing plainly repugnant to the Word of God, and the custom of the Primitive Church, to have publick Prayer in the Church, or to minister the Sacraments in a tongue not understood of the people.

Article XXV

Of the Sacraments

Sacraments ordained of Christ be not only badges or tokens of Christian men's profession, but rather they be certain sure witnesses, and effectual signs of grace, and God's good will towards us, by the which he doth work invisibly in us, and doth not only quicken, but also strengthen and confirm our Faith in him.

There are two Sacraments ordained of Christ our Lord in the Gospel, that is to say, Baptism, and the Supper of the Lord.

Those five commonly called Sacraments, that is to say, Confirmation, Penance, Orders, Matrimony, and extreme Unction,

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

are not to be counted for Sacraments of the Gospel, being such as have grown partly of the corrupt following of the Apostles, partly are states of life allowed in the Scriptures; but yet have not like nature of Sacraments with Baptism, and the Lord's Supper, for that they have not any visible sign or ceremony ordained of God.

The Sacraments were not ordained of Christ to be gazed upon, or to be carried about, but that we should duly use them. And in such only as worthily receive the same have they a wholesome effect or operation: but they that receive them unworthily purchase to themselves damnation, as Saint Paul saith.

Article XXVI

Of the Unworthiness of the Ministers, which hinders not the effect of the Sacrament

Although in the visible Church the evil be ever mingled with the good, and sometimes the evil have chief authority in the Ministration of the Word and Sacraments, yet forasmuch as they do not the same in their own name, but in Christ's, and do minister by his commission and authority, we may use their Ministry, both in hearing the Word of God, and in the receiving of the Sacraments. Neither is the effect of Christ's ordinance taken away by their wickedness, nor the grace of God's gifts diminished from such as by faith and rightly do receive the Sacraments

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

ministered unto them; which be effectual, because of Christ's institution and promise, although they be ministered by evil men. Nevertheless it appertaineth to the discipline of the Church, that inquiry be made of evil Ministers, and that they be accused by those that have knowledge of their offences; and finally being found guilty, by just judgement be deposed.

Article XXVII

Of Baptism

Baptism is not only a sign of profession, and mark of difference, whereby Christian men are discerned from others that be not christened, but is also a sign of Regeneration or new Birth, whereby, as by an instrument, they that receive Baptism rightly are grafted into the Church; the promises of the forgiveness of sin, and of our adoption to be the sons of God by the Holy Ghost, are visibly signed and sealed; Faith is confirmed, and Grace increased by virtue of prayer unto God. The Baptism of young Children is in any wise to be retained in the Church, as most agreeable with the institution of Christ.

Article XXVIII

Of the Lord's Supper

The Supper of the Lord is not only a sign of the love that Christians ought to have among themselves one to another; but

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

rather it is a Sacrament of our Redemption by Christ's death: insomuch that to such as rightly, worthily, and with faith, receive the same, the Bread which we break is a partaking of the Body of Christ; and likewise the Cup of Blessing is a partaking of the Blood of Christ.

Transubstantiation (or the change of the substance of Bread and Wine) in the Supper of the Lord, cannot be proved by holy Writ; but is repugnant to the plain words of Scripture, overthroweth the nature of a Sacrament, and hath given occasion to many superstitions.

The Body of Christ is given, taken, and eaten, in the Supper, only after an heavenly and spiritual manner. And the mean whereby the Body of Christ is received and eaten in the Supper is Faith. The Sacrament of the Lord's Supper was not by Christ's ordinance reserved, carried about, lifted up, or worshipped.

Article XXIX

Of the Wicked which do not eat the Body of Christ in the use of the Lord's Supper

The Wicked, and such as be void of a lively faith, although they do carnally and visibly press with their teeth (as Saint Augustine saith) the Sacrament of the Body and Blood of Christ, yet in no wise are they partakers of Christ: but rather, to their

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

condemnation, do eat and drink the sign or Sacrament of so great a thing.

Article XXX

Of both kinds

The Cup of the Lord is not to be denied to the Lay-people; for both the parts of the Lord's Sacrament, by Christ's ordinance and commandment, ought to be ministered to all Christian men alike.

Article XXXI

Of the one Oblation of Christ finished upon the Cross

The Offering of Christ once made is that perfect redemption, propitiation, and satisfaction, for all the sins of the whole world, both original and actual; and there is none other satisfaction for sin, but that alone. Wherefore the sacrifices of Masses, in the which it was commonly said, that the Priest did offer Christ for the quick and the dead, to have remission of pain or guilt, were blasphemous fables, and dangerous deceits.

Article XXXII

Of the Marriage of Priests

Bishops, Priests, and Deacons, are not commanded by God's Law, either to vow the estate of single life, or to abstain from marriage: therefore it is lawful for them, as for all other Christian

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

men, to marry at their own discretion, as they shall judge the same to serve better to godliness.

Article XXXIII

Of Excommunicated Persons, how they are to be avoided

That person which by open denunciation of the Church is rightly cut off from the unity of the Church, and excummunicated, ought to be taken of the whole multitude of the faithful, as an Heathen and Publican, until he be openly reconciled by penance, and received into the Church by a Judge that hath authority thereunto.

Article XXXIV

Of the Traditions of the Church

It is not necessary that Traditions and Ceremonies be in all places one, and utterly like; for at all times they have been divers, and may be changed according to the diversities of countries, times, and men's manners, so that nothing be ordained against God's Word. Whosoever through his private judgement, willingly and purposely, doth openly break the traditions and ceremonies of the Church, which be not repugnant to the Word of God, and be ordained and approved by common authority, ought to be rebuked openly, (that others may fear to do the like,) as he that offendeth against the common order of the Church, and hurteth

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

the authority of the Magistrate, and woundeth the consciences of the weak brethren.

Every particular or national Church hath authority to ordain, change, and abolish, ceremonies or rites of the Church ordained only by man's authority, so that all things be done to edifying.

Article XXXV

Of Homilies

The second Book of Homilies, the several titles whereof we have joined under this Article, doth contain a godly and wholesome Doctrine, and necessary for these times, as doth the former Book of Homilies, which were set forth in the time of Edward the Sixth; and therefore we judge them to be read in Churches by the Ministers, diligently and distinctly, that they may be understood of the people.

Of the Names of the Homilies

Of the right Use of the Church.

Against peril of Idolatry.

Of the repairing and keeping clean of Churches.

Of good Works: first of Fasting.

Against Gluttony and Drunkenness.

Against Excess of Apparel.

Of Prayer.

Of the Place and Time of Prayer.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

That Common Prayers and Sacraments ought to be ministered in a known tongue.

Of the reverent estimation of God's Word.

Of Alms-doing.

Of the Nativity of Christ.

Of the Passion of Christ.

Of the Resurrection of Christ.

Of the worthy receiving of the Sacrament of the Body and Blood of Christ.

Of the Gifts of the Holy Ghost.

For the Rogation-days.

Of the State of Matrimony.

Of Repentance.

Against Idleness.

Against Rebellion.

Article XXXVI

Of Consecration of Bishops and Ministers

The Book of Consecration of Archbishops and Bishops, and Ordering of Priests and Deacons, lately set forth in the time of Edward the Sixth, and confirmed at the same time by authority of Parliament, doth contain all things necessary to such Consecration and Ordering: neither hath it any thing, that of itself is superstitious or ungodly. And therefore whosoever are

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

consecrated or ordered according to the Rites of that Book, since the second year of the forenamed King Edward unto this time, or hereafter shall be consecrated or ordered according to the same Rites; we decree all such to be rightly, orderly, and lawfully consecrated or ordered.

Article XXXVII

Of the Civil Magistrates

The Queen's Majesty hath the chief power in this Realm of England, and other her Dominions, unto whom the chief Government of all Estates of this Realm, whether they be Ecclesiastical or Civil, in all causes doth appertain, and is not, nor ought to be, subject to any foreign Jurisdiction.

Where we attribute to the Queen's Majesty the chief government, by which Titles we understand the minds of some slanderous folks to be offended; we give not to our Princes the ministering either of God's Word, or of the Sacraments, the which thing the Injunctions also lately set forth by Elizabeth our Queen doth most plainly testify; but only that prerogative, which we see to have been given always to all godly Princes in holy Scriptures by God himself; that is, that they should rule all estates and degrees committed to their charge by God, whether they be Ecclesiastical or Temporal, and restrain with the civil sword the stubborn and evildoers.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

The Bishop of Rome hath no jurisdiction in this Realm of England. The Laws of the Realm may punish Christian men with death, for heinous and grievous offences.

It is lawful for Christian men, at the commandment of the Magistrate, to wear weapons, and serve in the wars.

Article XXXVIII

Of Christian men's Goods, which are not common

The Riches and Goods of Christians are not common, as touching the right, title, and possession of the same, as certain Anabaptists do falsely boast. Notwithstanding, every man ought, of such things as he possesseth, liberally to give alms to the poor, according to his ability.

Article XXXIX

Of a Christian man's Oath

As we confess that vain and rash Swearing is forbidden Christian men by our Lord Jesus Christ, and James his Apostle, so we judge, that Christian Religion doth not prohibit, but that a man may swear when the Magistrate requireth, in a cause of faith and charity, so it be done according to the Prophet's teaching, in justice, judgement, and truth.

CHAPTER 5

LIBERALISM FINDS FAVOUR

Before 1950 I can say that evangelical doctrine was mainly followed. In the Church of England the Book of Common Prayer 1662 was followed and from that time, with some opposition by the Oxford Movement in the late 1800's, the Church was evangelical.

In the 1950s, this began to change. Murray reports a number of developments. First, there was a shift in attitude toward non-evangelicals. John Stott, speaking as chair at an important evangelical conference in 1967, put it this way.

It is a tragic thing, however, that Evangelicals have a very poor image in the Church as a whole. We have acquired a reputation for narrow partisanship and obstructionism. We have to acknowledge this, and for the most part we have no one but ourselves to blame. We need to repent and change.
(4)

At this same conference Archbishop Ramsey was given the honour of the opening address. Ramsey was a liberal Anglo-Catholic, and he reminded his listeners that experience goes before theology. This is Schleiermacher. The conference proceeded to set forth basic evangelical doctrine, but at the same time it proclaimed a new approach to ecumenical dialogue. It was that as "long as anyone confessed Jesus Christ as 'God and Saviour' there must be an acceptance of their Christian standing." (5)

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

It must be said at this point, however, that the liberal heresy does not deny Jesus Christ as “God and Saviour”. It simply reinterprets the essentials of the faith in terms of a category that gives them a completely new meaning. I have described this elsewhere. Among other things, I pointed out that Stott may not fully grasp the gravity of the situation. He claims, for example, that if “the Church were to deny one of the central truths of the creed, like the incarnation, the atonement or the resurrection, it would cease to be a church. It would be apostate. Then we would be obliged to leave it. But thank God that lamentable situation has not arrived.” (6) This statement doesn’t get to the heart of the matter. The liberal faith does deny central truths of the faith. It reinterprets them along radically new lines.

Secondly, Billy Graham, one of the evangelicalism’s leading figures, began to develop ideas that softened if not abandoned certain critical evangelical beliefs. Originally, Graham did not include liberal churches and their leaders in his evangelistic campaigns. Eventually, however, Graham began to work with virtually all denominations except for churches such as the Unitarians. Some liberal clergy had reservations about Graham. Others had reservations, but they felt that conversion and subsequent church attendance were a good thing. Billy Graham could help them with that, and so they encouraged their members to attend his crusades and even participated themselves. It needs to be said here that liberals have no real theological problem with this approach. For them, the enthusiasm of a Graham crusade, the altar call, the exclusive claims of Christ, may not be their cup of tea. But they recognize that piety varies from person to person, that faith is a good thing and that religion needs to be expressed according

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

to each person's religious inclination and temperament. Therefore, many were willing to work with Billy. As a result of Billy Graham's crusades in England, evangelicals found themselves in increasing contact with non-evangelicals. The resultant personal contact diluted the significance of doctrine and strengthened the sense that Christianity is more a matter of the heart than doctrine.

Murray gives several reasons for Graham's shift in attitude. To begin with, American evangelism is essentially pragmatic.

Its goal is saving souls. Theological formulation is normally secondary. Further, Billy Graham and John Stott became good friends as did Graham and the liberal Anglo-Catholic Ramsey. These contacts led to a weakening of Graham's "exclusive" view of the faith. Finally, for my part, I doubt that Graham or those around him had ever been exposed to the substance of the liberal heresy. They doubtless knew that something was wrong. But Graham, if he is like many Americans, tended to see people as human beings first, and only later as persons of a particular theological stripe. This cultural factor doubtless played a role in his becoming comfortable with those of contrary theological convictions.

Subsequent history was to show a lack of apprehension of danger, a determination only to be charitable, and an increasing commitment to ecumenism, were to corrode the convictions which had initially been part of Graham's leadership. (7)

From all this it is clear that, while Graham has professed no change to his doctrinal beliefs, he had come to accept the

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

primary idea of ecumenism that there is a shared experience of salvation in Christ which makes all differences of belief a very secondary matter. (8)

Finally, Graham, in a 1997 interview with Dr Robert Schuler, made the statement that even those who did not overtly know Jesus Christ – Moslems, Buddhists, Atheists - are members of the body of Christ. “They may not know the name Jesus but they know in their hearts that they need something they do not have, and they turn to the only light they have, and I think that they are saved and they are going to be with us in heaven.” (9) Schuler was ecstatic.

Along with Stott, there were a number of other important evangelicals, who gradually began to assume a “wider” vision of the Church. Murray lists such men as Colin Buchanan, David Watson, and Michael Saward. Under their leadership the idea emerged that the ground of unity among Christians was baptism. In 1977 an evangelical conference was held in Nottingham. Among its proceedings, the section on “The Church and Its Identity,” contained the following as its leading idea: “The church on earth is marked out by Baptism, which is the complete sacramental initiation into Christ and his body.” (10) This allowed evangelicals to enjoy fraternal relations with all baptized members of the church. In Murray’s view, this was a denial of evangelicalism’s Reformation heritage.

In protest against such an assumption the Reformation asserted a gospel which had at its heart the justification of the believing, repentant sinner by Christ alone, and this message

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

they held to be so paramount that, without it, the church and sacraments are all of no avail for salvation. (11)

There were further developments. In 1975, the Church of England abolished allegiance to the thirty-nine articles and the majority of the evangelical clergy did not fight this decision. “The plain fact was that assent to the Thirty-Nine Articles was ended because liberals opposed the scriptural nature of the doctrine while Anglo-Catholics resented the rejection of Roman Catholic belief. Yet this was never openly admitted.” (12) Further, evangelicals historically had called for the disciplining of clergy who were not faithful to Scripture. This went by the board as well. As one so-called evangelical leader put it, “In an avowedly (though perhaps inadvertently) comprehensive Church, to find someone guilty of heresy and thus deprived of his or her post is in fact to flout the toleration factor in the life of the Church.” (13) In Murray’s view: “The approval of doctrinal ‘diversity’ has become the hallmark of one-time evangelicals who have risen to high positions in the Church and left definite convictions behind them.” (14) Finally, Murray introduces another development with these words,

I now turn to another feature which has marked evangelicalism in the English-speaking world since the 1950s, namely, a transference of leadership from preachers and pastors to evangelical intellectuals teaching in the academic world. (15) Instead of the old practice of clergy teaching clergy it began to become common for bright students to go straight into teaching posts. (16)

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“The new generation of younger evangelical leaders,” wrote Capon in 1977, “are primarily academics ... and their contributions at Nottingham showed they were beginning to grapple seriously with issues previously almost beyond evangelical reach.” They were engaged, he believed in “a continuing quest for a ‘respectable theology.’” (17)

According to Murray, the drift of intellectual leadership into the hands of academics had its greatest impact in the area of Scripture. Instead of a Scripture being in a book that spoke the Word of God, it became an ancient text whose meaning was tied to a medley of historical reconstructions. This had three primary effects. First, Scripture was taken out of the hands of “ordinary” Christian men and women. As such, its interpretation became the purview of scholars whose focus was the latest historical construction lying behind any given text. Secondly, since the focus was the human world behind the text, Christian belief in the Scripture was reduced to the uncertainties of historical constructions in which a broad toleration of opinion was allowed. And thirdly,

Finally, it follows that a denial of the full inspiration of Scripture leads to theological teaching and education which is destructive and futile rather than enriching and upbuilding in the faith. Instead of certainties, worthy to be preached and taught, students are introduced to what their lecturers trust are the latest results of biblical scholarship. (18)

Murray ends his book with a recognition that, from a New Testament point of view, the danger to the church is not materialism, or paganism, or any external danger. The real danger

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

to the church, from the beginning to the end, is false teachers who corrupt the flock.

The idea that Christianity stands chiefly in danger from the forces of materialism, or from secular philosophy, or from pagan religions, is not the teaching of the New Testament. The greatest danger comes rather from temptations within and from those who, using the name of Christ, are instruments of Satan to lead men to believe a lie and to worship what in reality belongs to the demonic (2 Thes 2:3-9; Rev 13:11). (19)

What should the church do about this?

Wrong belief is as dangerous as unbelief. To deny the deity and the work of Christ will shut men out of heaven as certainly as will the sin of murder (*John* 8:24; 1 *John* 2:22-23). To preach “another gospel” is to be “accursed” (*Gal* 1:6-9). Those who support heresies “will not inherit the kingdom of God (*Gal* 5:20-21). This means that a large part of the preservation and defence of the church lies in resolute resistance to falsehood and in forthright teaching of the truth. Such warnings as “beware of the doctrine of the Pharisees and the Sadducees” (*Matt* 23:13), run right through the New Testament. “Tax collectors and prostitutes” would enter the Kingdom of God before such false teachers (*Matt* 21:31). The apostles, filled with the Spirit of Christ, suffered no toleration of error. They opposed it wherever it arose and required the same spirit of all Christians. (20)

A Few Additional Comments

To my mind, this text raises a fundamental question: “Who is a Christian?” One could ask, for example, whether doctrine defines who is a Christian? But that question is dependent upon a more fundamental question: “Who is Jesus Christ, and how is he known?” If Jesus Christ is given in his words and deeds, and if these last forever, then doctrine, the intelligibility of what he said and did, belongs to the essence of the Church and defines a Christian. This has been the position of the church from the beginning. If, however, as the revisionists assert, Jesus Christ is known beyond his words and deeds, if his words merely “point to” the mystical and ineffable Christ, then doctrine does not define the Christian.

Or, if being a believer in Jesus Christ is merely a matter of an external rite, such as baptism, then anyone can be a Christian who has had water poured over them in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. Scripture agrees that water baptism is important. One must be born of water and the Spirit (Jn 3:5). Theologically, baptism in water corresponds to what happened in Jesus Christ. It is immersion into his death and resurrection. Unless, however, this objective, external sacrificial death and resurrection becomes real in a person’s life, unless one receives, believes, follows Jesus Christ, and is born anew from above, the fact that a person is baptized does not mean they are Christians. It is the Spirit that enables a person to appropriate what is given externally and objectively in Jesus Christ. Apart from the work of the Spirit, a person is still dead in their sins. One doesn’t receive forgiveness without repentance. The triune name given in baptism, Father,

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Son, and Spirit, means that a person is originally created by the Father, redeemed by the Son, and made new by the Spirit who effects in believers through faith what was given them in the Son who reveals the Father. This seems obvious, and it is hard to believe that any Christian church would simply define Christians by baptism. Unfortunately I have heard the same here in the States on numerous occasions.

Archbishop Ramsey's claim that experience comes before theology may be true in some trivial sense. Everything we know has some basis in experience. The question for theology is, "What sort of experience is an experience of the true God, the Father of Jesus Christ?" Theology helps identify the true God. The doctrines of the Trinity and Christology help distinguish the true God from false ones. The claim "experience before theology," is misleading. At one level, it is virtually a truism. At another level, as actually used, it means an experience of something for which doctrine has no relevance. A "something" for which doctrine has not relevance. A "something" for which doctrine is meaningless is not the Christian God. The Christian God was and is defined by a Word, the Word Jesus Christ, and his words and deeds have intelligible content."

Endnotes

1. Rev Robert J Saunder, Ph D - <http://rsanders.org>
Murray, Iain H – *Evangelicalism Divided*, Edinburgh: The Banner of Truth Trust, 2000
- 2 Murray, p 15
- 3 Murray, p 15
- 4 Murray, p 42
- 5 Murray, p 43
- 6 See the essay by Stott on the AAC website.
- 7 Murray, p 66
- 8 Murray, p 69
- 9 Murray, p 74
- 10 Murray, p 101
- 11 Murray, pp 102-3
- 12 Murray, p 265
- 13 Murray, pp 141-2
- 14 Murray, p 142
- 15 Murray, p 173
- 16 Murray, p 174
- 17 Murray, p 175
- 18 Murray, p 204
- 19 Murray, p 259
- 20 Murray, p 259. As references, Murray gives: Phil 1:27; Col 2:8; 2 Thess 3:14; 1 Tim 1:3-7, 6:3-5; 2 Tim 2:14-19; Titus 3:9-11; 2 Pet 2:1; 1 Jn 4:1-3; 2 Jn 7 and Jude

CHAPTER 6

DILIGENCE AND EVANGELICALISM

Our Spiritual Focus

For an evangelical church today the focus is diligence. It is called to do with being spiritual:

Acts 1:8 - But you will receive power when the Holy Spirit comes on you; and you will be my witnesses in Jerusalem, and in all Judea and Samaria, and to the ends of the earth.
(NIV)

The word “power” above is where we get the English word ‘dynamite’. Being spiritual (1 Corinthians 12:1) involves including the Holy Spirit – asking the Holy Spirit to encourage us in our ministry – to live a truly spiritual – a Holy Spirit filled life – remembering the two approaches to life.

Could the following relate to someone who claims to be a Christian? Or simply to anyone? Living for the world – living a life serving self and actually ignoring the Cross of Jesus? Living in and for the world and saving your life for your own sake? Living in the world and giving all benefits the world gives but losing your soul? Looking to the world and losing Jesus and His reward in eternal glory? Some “Christians” are like this. They forget the true doctrine and drift into a liberal doctrine as described before. A “lukewarm” doctrine.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

The following only relates to the elect – those truly born-again, those who 24/7 are being spiritual. Those looking to Jesus – denying self and taking up the Cross. Those prepared to lose their physical life for Jesus' sake.

Those who truly forsake the world and keep their souls. Those who as a result of love and obedience of and to Jesus will share in Jesus' reward in His glory and eternity.

In our ministry for Jesus we need to remember that the devil is responsible for the most deceptive evil – he is a subtle and stubborn adversary.

So, when we come to this understanding of diligence I see it defined as being steady in our Christian attitude. Jesus taught about attitudes – Blessed attitudes – the Beatitudes. I call them “beaut-attitudes.”

It is important to remember them:

Mat 5:3-12 – “Blessed are the poor in spirit, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

Blessed are those who mourn, for they will be comforted.

Blessed are the meek, for they will inherit the earth.

Blessed are those who hunger and thirst for righteousness, for they will be filled.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Blessed are the merciful, for they will be shown mercy.

Blessed are the pure in heart, for they will see God.

Blessed are the peacemakers, for they will be called children of God.

Blessed are those who are persecuted because of righteousness, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.

Blessed are you when people insult you, persecute you and falsely say all kinds of evil against you because of me. Rejoice and be glad, because great is your reward in heaven, for in the same way they persecuted the prophets who were before you. (NIV)

Jesus also taught of our attitudes in loving God and our neighbour.

Mat 22:34-39 – Hearing that Jesus had silenced the Sadducees, the Pharisees got together. One of them, an expert in the law, tested him with this question: “Teacher, which is the greatest commandment of the Law?”

Jesus replied: “‘Love the Lord your God with all your heart and with all your soul and with all your mind.’ This is the first and greatest commandment. And the second is like it: ‘Love your neighbor as yourself.’ (NIV)

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

The apostle Paul recorded our attitude in relation to Jesus' attitude:

Phil 2:5-11 – In your relationship with one another, have the same mindset as Christ Jesus:

Who, being in very nature God, did not consider equality with God something to be used to his own advantage; rather, he made himself nothing by taking the very nature of a servant, being made in human likeness. And being found in appearance as a man, he humbled himself by becoming obedient to death – even on the cross!

Therefore God exalted him to the highest place and gave him the name that is above every name, that at the name of Jesus every knee should bow, in heaven and on earth and under the earth, and every tongue acknowledge that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the father. (NIV)

This is a continual part of knowing and growing. Knowing more and growing more like Jesus.

Consider these truths:

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

2 Tim 1:1-14 – Paul, an apostle of Christ Jesus by the command of God our Savior and of Christ Jesus our hope,

To Timothy my true son in the faith:

Grace, mercy and peace from God the Father and Christ Jesus our Lord.

As I urged you when I went to Macedonia, stay there in Ephesus so that you may command certain people not to teach false doctrines any longer or to devote themselves to myths and endless genealogies. Such things promote controversial speculations rather than advancing God's work – which is by faith. The goal of this command is love, which comes from a pure heart and a good conscience and a sincere faith. Some have departed from these and have turned to meaningless talk. They want to be teachers of the law, but they do not know what they are talking about or what they so confidently affirm. We know that the law is good if one uses it properly. We also know that the law is made not for the righteous but for law-breakers and rebels, the ungodly and sinful, the unholy and irreligious, for those who kill their father or mothers, for murderers, for the sexually immoral, for those

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

practicing homo-sexuality, for salve traders and liars and perjurers –and for whatever else is contrary to the sound doctrine that conforms to the gospel concerning the glory of the blessed God, which he entrusted to me.

I thank Christ Jesus our Lord, who has given me strength, that he considered me trustworthy, appointment me to his service. Even though I was once a blasphemer and a persecutor and a violent man, I was shown mercy because I acted in ignorance and unbelieve. The grace of our Lord was poured out on me abundantly, along with the faith and love that are in Christ Jesus.

2 Tim 4:1-8 – The Spirit clearly says that in later times some will abandon the faith and follow deceiving spirits and things taught by demons. Such teachings come through hypocritical liars, whose consciences have been seared as with a hot iron. They forbid people to marry and order them to abstain from certain foods, which God created to be received with thanksgiving by those who believe and who know the truth. For everything God created is good, and nothing is to be rejected if it is received with thanksgiving, because it is consecrated by the word of God and prayer.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

If you point these things out to the brothers and sisters, you will be a good minister of Christ Jesus, nourished on the truths of the faith and of the good teaching that you have followed. Have nothing to do with godless myths and old wives' tales; rather, train yourself to be godly. For physical training is of some value, but godliness has value for all things, holding promise for both the present life and the life to come. (NIV)

This second passage above is leading to the rejection of sound doctrine and evangelical truth. Paul also speaks of the “glorious gospel of the blessed God, which he entrusted to me.” (1 Timothy 1:11) – also entrusted to the elect today. The verses (2 Timothy 1:9f) above emphasises our calling to a holy life, a life separate from error. For the elect know whom they have believed. How important it is to be watchful and thankful and devoted to prayer (Colossians 4:2; 1 Timothy 2:1f).

Further, considering this subject of diligence, that true and steady attitude, true born-again Christians, true evangelicals need to be devoted to reading Scripture. I comment on this later in this book.

Paul records “...devote yourself to public reading of Scripture...be diligent in these matters...” (1 Tim 4:13-15).

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

As the elect and bible believing born-again Christians we need to be single-minded, focused with our wills totally obedient to Jesus. This is what being spiritual is about. We have the mind of Jesus Himself (1 Cor 2:16). Therefore, with the strength of Jesus (Phil 4:13) and the power of His Holy Spirit (Acts 1:8) we are to be diligent with strong wills for evangelical truth given to us by the faithfulness of our forefathers in modern times. Times before the error of the postmodern present period. They knew that Holy Scripture contained everything necessary for salvation (Jn 3:16; Act4:12 Rom 5:8; 2 Cor 5:21; 1 Pet 3:18). They were uncompromising. They also knew obedience to Jesus is the foundation (Jn 14:15) having Holy Scripture, there are a couple of prayers which greatly encourage my ministry:

Phil 1:9-10 – And this is my prayer: that your love may abound more and more in knowledge and depth of insight, so that you may be able to discern what is best and may be pure and blameless for the day of Christ, filled with the fruit of righteousness that comes through Jesus Christ – to the glory and praise of God. (NIV)

Also, following Paul's comments (Eph 3:7-13) a greatly encouraging prayer for diligence:

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Phil 3:7-21 – But whatever were gains to me I now consider loss for the sake of Christ. What is more, I consider everything a loss because of the surpassing worth of knowing Christ Jesus my Lord, for whose sake I have lost all things. I consider them garbage, that I may gain Christ and be found in him, not having a righteousness of my own that comes from the law, but that which is faith in Christ – the righteousness that comes from God on the basis of faith. I want to know Christ – yes, to know the power of his resurrection and participation in his sufferings, becoming like him in his death, and so, somehow, attaining to the resurrection from the dead. Not that I have already obtained all this, or have already arrived at my goal, but I press on to take hold of that for which Christ Jesus took hold of me. Brothers and sisters, I do not consider myself yet to have taken hold of it. But one thing I do: Forgetting what is behind and straining towards what is ahead, I press on toward the goal to win the prize for which God has called me heavenward in Christ Jesus.

All of us, then, who are mature should take such a view of things. And if on some point you think differently, that too God will make clear to you. Only let us live up to what we have already attained.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Join together in following my example, brothers and sisters, and just as you have us as a model, keep your eyes on those who live as we do. For, as I have often told you before and now tell you again even with tears, many live as enemies of the cross of Christ. Their destiny is destruction, their god is their stomach, and their glory is their shame. Their mind is set on earthly things. But our citizenship is in heaven. And we eagerly await a Savior from there, the Lord Jesus Christ, who, by the power that enables him to bring everything under his control, will transform our lowly bodies so that they will be like his glorious body. (NIV)

I also need to mention the prayer I say every morning – modifying the prayer for particular needs of each day: The Lord's Prayer, remembering what Jesus said in verse 14.

Mat 6:9-15 – This, then, is how you should pray:

'Our Father in heaven, hallowed be your name, your kingdom come, your will be done, on earth as it is in heaven.

Give us today our daily bread. And forgive us our debts, as we also have forgiven our debtors.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

And lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from the evil one.

For if you forgive other people when they sin against you, your heavenly Father will also forgive you. But if you do not forgive others their sins, your Father will not forgive your sins. (NIV)

These are also great encouragements from other prayers in Scripture (Heb 12:1; 13:20; 2 Thes 2:16).

Finally in this overview of diligence:

1 Pet 3:15 – But in your hearts revere Christ as Lord. Always be prepared to give an answer to everyone who asks you to give the reason for the hope that you have. But do this with gentleness and respect. (NIV)

Remembering:

1 Cor 15:58 – Therefore, my dear brothers and sisters, stand firm. Let nothing move you. Always give yourselves fully to the work of the Lord, because you know that your labor in the Lord is not in vain. (NIV)

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Paul's comment above is an encouraging truth, but we must be careful and loving (1 Pet 3:15) above. We remember in being spiritual and diligent that our prayer is always to look to Jesus when dealing with difficult people – to allow Jesus to soften hard hearts and to save. We are seed sowers.

CHAPTER 7

SOVEREIGNTY OF GOD

Firstly in this chapter this author establishes the sovereignty of God which primarily includes the sovereignty of Jesus the eternal second Person of God.

This chapter and the following chapter are included in this work in their entirety to revisit the truth that the One True God of the bible, Father, Son and Holy Spirit is the one only God – there is no other (Is 45:5). All other gods are of the devil. The following chapter tells the truth about Jesus the Son of God – the elect’s only and complete wisdom – righteousness, holiness and redemption. Analysis in most of the sub-headings is retained to totally support the truth that the God of the bible is sovereign.

This proves Jesus’ Lordship with appropriate references relating to essential doctrines which in the next chapter, The Deity of Jesus, compliment the truth that He is our righteousness and wisdom for all time. This author emphasises “all time” to include Old Covenant and New Covenant truth as taught by Luke 24:27. (Ge 3:15; Nu 21:9; Dt 18:15; Is 7:14; 9:6; 40:10; Is 53; Ezek 34:23; Da 9:24; Mic 7:20; Mal 3:1) already mentioned for emphasis. Also in this chapter this author links the Old Covenant to the New Covenant. This

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

author focuses on key areas of God's name, God's sovereign will, God's omnipotence, predestination of the elect and adoption. The Theological significance in the key area of the Sovereignty of God focussing on effectual calling and grace is examined centring on Lordship of Jesus.

God's Name

God is sovereign and this author focuses firstly on God's name. In revelation, Erickson explains that this is where God demonstrates His Sovereignty and he focuses on special revelation. Special revelation is personal where God reveals Himself in time and place. The personal relationship is emphasised by Erickson when God responded by "giving His name 'I am who I am (or I will be who I will be) (Ex 3:14.)"² This author highlights the Name of YAHWEH, for this name emphasises the concealed Name of the eternal Living Word, who is revealed in His New Covenant (Jn 1). As a personal God, He made a personal covenant with His people. In addition, He blessed them "The Lord bless you and keep you; the Lord make His face shine upon you, and be gracious to you; the Lord turn His face toward you and give you peace"

² Millard J Erickson, Christian Theology. (Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker Books, 1998), p 203.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

(Num 6:24-26). Special revelation records where God has spoken and historical events have been recorded, notably the mighty deeds of the deliverance of His people from Egypt. This is expanded further in Erickson's discussion on divine speech and his comments on Paul (1 Cor 7:40; 11:23), but reaches the ultimate level of special revelation in the incarnation where the Lord Jesus, God as man, speaks face to face with His brothers and sisters. Here His words are written down as Scripture as revelation. Further, this author notes Jesus uses the words "I AM" on seven occasions (Jn 6:35; 8:12; 10:7, 11; 11:25; 14:6; 15:1) confirming in this author's Christian mind, through the Holy Spirit, the truth of Jesus' words as Living Word spoken to Moses, "I will be ..." (Ex 3:14). Jesus was and is.

Concerning Ephesians 2:5-8 this author adds the comment that indeed Jesus gives the believer faith as in the reference quoted by Luke where he cites Peter's words as Peter heals the crippled beggar – "By faith in the name of Jesus, this man whom you see and know was made strong. It is Jesus' name and the faith that comes through Him that has given this complete healing to him..." (Acts 3:16). This confirms the truth in Ephesians 2:8-9.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Piper adds to Erickson and discusses God's will and its awesome complexities. He explains the deep compassion God has for those who have turned from Him to be independent and disobedient. He cites Lamentations 3:32 "though He causes grief, He will have compassion according to the abundance of His steadfast love; for He does not willingly inflict or grieve the sons of men." Here, this author, can appreciate the difficulty which Piper is explaining, in that to the human mind there is enormous conflict. But as Piper explains, "God is governed by the depth of His wisdom expressed through a plan that no ordinary human deliberation would ever conceive."³ He cites Romans 11:33f.

³ John Piper, "Are There Two Wills in God?" in Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R. Schreiner, Bruce A. Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000) p 129

God's Omnipotence

Also, on the subject of the sovereignty of God, Erickson discusses that God alone is omnipotent, having authority and power over everything including evil done by Satan and as a result the reality of the magnitude of sin. The universality of sin has already been clearly seen in God's resolution to destroy "everything (with the exception of Noah, his family and the animals ...)"⁴ (Ge 6:5). Further emphasised by God's truth "every inclination of [man's] heart [as being] evil from childhood."⁵ (Ge 8:21). Erickson further highlights a categorical statement about human sinfulness "found in 1 Kings 8:46 'for there is no one who does not sin.'" (cf Rom 3:23).⁶

Psalm 30:3 also adds to this thought "if you, O LORD, kept a record of sins, O LORD, who could stand?"

Thus Erickson continues and explains "evil actions and words stem from the evil, that's evil thoughts of the heart: 'but the things that come out of the mouth come from the heart ... out

⁴ Millard J. Erickson, Christian Theology. (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1998), p 638

⁵ *Ibid.*, 639

⁶ *Ibid.*

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

of the heart come evil thoughts' ... (Mt 15:18f)"⁷ Erickson goes further with reference to the inclination of a man's heart by commenting on what Paul had to say about the "Gentiles that they are darkened in their understanding and separated from the life of God because of the ignorance that is in them due to the hardening of their hearts ..." (Eph 4:18f). Erickson further explains that "we do not mean by total depravity that the unregenerate person is totally insensitive in matters of conscience, of right and wrong,"⁸ and he goes on to comment on what Paul wrote in Romans 2:15. This author acknowledges the truth here that no-one can stand before or come to God on their own (Rom 3:10-12).

⁷ Ibid., 644

⁸ Ibid.

Predestination and Election

This author turns attention to the way God in Jesus answers the problem of evil and this author focuses on election and the work of Erickson. Erickson discusses the key area of predestination and election under the major subject – Salvation in his chapter – The Antecedent of Salvation: Predestination. In that chapter he emphasises that the whole subject falls within the major key area of the Sovereignty of God, and thus, this author includes it here, along with discussions from other authors. Erickson further emphasises the sovereignty of God.

Erickson explains that predestination refers to God's choice of individuals for eternal life or eternal death. To assist understanding, election is selection of some for eternal life, the positive side of predestination.

Before examining what Erickson explains about predestination and election this author sees the need to discuss foreknowledge. In Erickson's chapter in Christian Theology – What God Does, he discusses God's plan, making

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

the distinction between the broader term “foreordain” and the narrower term “predestinate” having to do with election. Erickson cites 1 Peter 1:2 “The elect are chosen according to the foreknowledge of God.”⁹ Westblade comments on this view. Westblade in Schreiner has some interesting comments concerning God’s infallible foreknowledge. He poses the question – Can everyone choose freely “to exercise faith and so fulfil God’s desire that all should be saved and come to a knowledge of the truth?”¹⁰ Concentrating on that freedom, Westblade cites Jonathan Edwards who commented “infallible knowledge of an event presupposes the necessity of that event and therefor precludes its real freedom.” Westblade proceeds and cites Foster and Marston “insistence that the Bible nowhere uses the word ‘know or foreknow’ to mean ‘choose’ or ‘elect’ ...,¹¹ even though as Westblade comments that in Romans 8:29 there is “certainty of future events.”¹² This author observes that it is difficult for us in our time to really understand God’s omniscience in His eternity, given that the product of time that He has made for man cannot be reasoned by man’s finite mind to the awesome

⁹ Millard J Erickson, Christian Technology. (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1998), p 383

¹⁰ Donald J Westblade, “Divine Election in the Pauline Literature.” In Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 71

¹¹ Ibid.

¹² Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

concept of God's eternity. Thus, this author agrees with Schreiner's footnote that "in Morris' words, summarising his reading on this verse, 'we are not to think that God can take action only when we graciously give Him permission. Paul is saying that God initiates the whole process.'"¹³

Now returning to predestination and election, Erickson begins by commenting that "of all the doctrines of Christian faith, certainly one of the most puzzling and least understood is the doctrine of predestination"¹⁴, and that it "exceeds the human capacity to understand."¹⁵ Further Erickson defines predestination, explaining that "although some use it interchangeably with 'foreordination' and 'election', for our purpose here 'predestination' is midway in specificity between 'foreordination' and 'election'. ... 'Predestination' refers to God's choice of individuals for eternal life or eternal death. 'Election' is selection of some for eternal life, the positive side of predestination."¹⁶ The doctrine's history is discussed (Pelagius and Augustine), and comments on as Adam has sinned so we have all sinned, thus Erickson comments that

¹³ Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware, eds Still Sovereign. (Grand Rapids, Mich, Baker Books, 200), p71

¹⁴ Millard J Erickson, Christian Theology. (Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker Books, 1998) p 921

¹⁵ Ibid.

¹⁶ Ibid

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

this means that all human beings “begin life in a seriously marred condition.”¹⁷ (Rom 3:23). So questions arise. Does God choose as He pleases? Does His choice depend on advanced awareness? – for Augustine, suggests Erickson “for God by an unalterable decree has determined who is to be saved; being totally of God’s grace, salvation in no way depends on humans or what they do...”¹⁸ Following these comments by Augustine, Erickson looks at differing views of predestination, firstly Calvin. He notes the “acronym TULIP: total depravity, unconditional predestination, limited atonement, irresistible grace and perseverance.”¹⁹ Erickson notes that total depravity “means that every individual is so sinful as to be unable to respond to any offer of grace.”²⁰ He adds that sometimes “the phrase ‘total inability’ is used, meaning that sinners have lost the ability to do good and are unable to convert themselves.”²¹

The word “convert”, just mentioned, should be regenerate. Regeneration comes first as it entirely the work of God.

¹⁷ Ibid., 923

¹⁸ Ibid., 924

¹⁹ Ibid., 928

²⁰ Ibid.

²¹ Ibid. This author notes Erickson’s choice of word “convert” here. In accordance with this author’s discussion and with reference to Chapters 1 and 2 above Erickson should be using the term “regenerate”, as conversion is the response of the elect after God’s initiative of regeneration.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Conversion is the process by the born-again elect person in their life of obedience.

Commenting on the sovereignty of God and His freedom to do what He wills, unconditional predestination is seen in the parable of the labourers in the field (Mt 20:13f), thus election clearly is God's choice of certain people for His special favour. This author focuses and is comforted by the marvellous references quoted on more than one occasion (Eph 1:4-5; Jn 6:44). Further commenting on God's actions, Erickson notes the choice of the nation of Israel and comments "in Romans 9 Paul argues impressively that all of these choices are totally of God and in no way depend on the people chosen."²² Quoted is Romans 9:15-16. Erickson also notes as does this author that election is "efficacious"²³, in that those whom God chooses "will most certainly come to faith in Him and, for that matter, will persevere in that faith to the end."²⁴ Further, "election is from all eternity and out of God's infinite mercy...."²⁵ Erickson also comments on free will, noting that "Calvinists insist that election is not inconsistent with free will,

²² Ibid., 930

²³ Ibid.

²⁴ Ibid.

²⁵ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

that is, as they understand the term. They deny, however, that humans have free will in the Arminian sense. Sin has removed, if not freedom, at least the ability to exercise freedom properly.”²⁶ Concerning the question of free will this author suggests that humankind always had a real will before and after the Fall. This author agrees that before the Fall Adam and Eve had freedom of will which was marred by the Fall as Erickson has stated. There was a problem to “exercise freedom properly.”²⁷

I need to emphasise again that after regeneration the elect have free will (Jn 8:32, 36).

“Jesus said ‘If the son sets you free you will be free indeed.’”
(Jn 8:36)

Erickson explains that we confidently understand God’s plan will be fulfilled and that the “elect will come to faith,”²⁸ also understanding that we must not criticise ourselves when in our

²⁶ Ibid.

²⁷ Ibid.

²⁸ Millard J Erickson, Christian Theology. (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1998), p 940

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

witness some do not come to faith in Jesus for that occurred in His ministry also (Jn 6:37, 44), and we should be encouraged in our witness and evangelism as we do not know who is the elect and we must understand and acknowledge that it is all by grace – God’s unmerited favour.

Commenting on the above – the Grace of Jesus, and Jesus’ requirement also for our obedience, Carson – in his reflection on assurance – makes an interesting comment about election that as an “element in the Biblical portrayal of God’s sovereignty never functions so as to destroy human responsibility ...”²⁹ This author agrees with Carson and relates responsibility to obedience.

Also commenting on the point above, Schreiner explains that God is completely sovereign and “yet human choices and responsibility are not a charade. God ordains all that come to pass and is good; and yet evil exists, and it is really evil. God chooses only some to be saved, and yet there is also the true sense in which He desires the salvation of all. Those who are

²⁹ D A Carson, “Reflections on Assurance.” In Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 272

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

elect will never lose their salvation, and yet those who do not persevere to the end will not inherit the Kingdom of God.”³⁰

Westblade in Schreiner comments on ability in his discussion on divine election. He notes “God Himself gives and withholds the ability to trust. God, not the sinner, should therefore be held responsible.”³¹ This highlights how difficult this theological truth is to our finite minds as Westblade continues “that God gives, an ability to trust to have a will that is favourably disposed, is a moral ability, one that we commonly distinguish in practise from our natural or physical abilities.” Schreiner expands this comment by his comment on prevenient grace where he distinguishes between moral and physical ability. He notes that “God gives commands to unbelievers that they can physically obey; that is, they could observe His commandments if they desired to do so. Unbelievers are morally unable to keep God’s commands in the sense that they have no desire to obey all of His commandments.”³² Thus he explains prevenient grace that before they repent as the scriptures teach “that they have no

³⁰ Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A. Ware, eds. Still Sovereign. (Grand Rapids, Mich, Baker Books, 2000), p 19

³¹ Donald J Westblade, “Divine Election in the Pauline Literature.” In Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R. Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 79

³² Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

moral ability to believe, and the only way they will believe is if they are given by the Father to the Son. This revelation is not vouchsafed to all people but only to the elect.”³³ He takes this thought one step further by commenting on Scripture where Jesus commands believers “to be perfect (Mt 5:48), but the need for forgiveness (Mt 6:14-15) demonstrates that perfection is impossible to attain.”³⁴ This author notes as Schreiner discusses that it is difficult for mankind to accept their responsibility for sin irrespective of the truth that “they are born with an inclination and that will inevitably lead them to sin.”³⁵ The reality discussed by Schreiner that it is “the kindness of God”³⁶ that should give people understanding and leading to repentance. As Schreiner had already stated that Adam was still responsible for their “failure to respond to God’s grace.”³⁷

³³ Thomas R Schreiner, “Does Scripture Teach Prevenient Grace in the Wesleyan Sense?” in Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 243

³⁴ Ibid.

³⁵ Ibid., 244

³⁶ Ibid.

³⁷ Ibid.

Adoption

Concerning adoption, this author is interested in some comments by Grudem about sovereignty and the eternal grace of Jesus. Grudem also has some interesting thoughts on adoption which he discusses in Schreiner in his contribution “Perseverance of the Saints.” Grudem in an interesting way lists “regeneration, justification and adoption,”³⁸ as “entirely works of God,” as noted above³⁹ which correlates to Erickson’s comments on election as part of God’s work and thus part of the sovereignty of God. He suggests that conversion “which includes repentance from sins and faith in Christ...is entirely a work of man.”

This author is disagreeing with Grudem’s comments and focuses firstly on the truth that faith is not the work of man but rather given as a gift from God (Eph 2:5-8). Jesus is the faithful One who gives faith on regeneration (Rom 3:22, 26). This faithfulness of Jesus gives strength to each elect to “keep on believing – keep on trusting.” This is the work of Jesus, the Son of God. Obedience is the work of man and is part of the process is conversion which is man’s response (Jn 14:15; 15:9, 10). All the wisdom of the elect is

³⁸ Wayne Grudem, “Perseverance of the Saints: A Case Study from the Warning Passages in Hebrews.” In Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 135

³⁹ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

in Jesus. In order to examine this truth this author needs to examine several key areas of doctrine – namely the sovereignty of God. It is about God’s sovereignty when calling and drawing the elect. This author has observed that there is confusion among authors when examining their subject in the definition of the word “conversion.” This can be seen when studying the Book of Acts, especially Chapter 9 dealing with the conversion of Paul which should commence initially not the conversion of Paul but the regeneration of Paul after he had the vision of Jesus on the road to Damascus.

A person before regeneration “has no moral ability to believe, and the only way they will believe is if they are given by the Father to the Son....”⁴⁰ This author does view repentance in a sense of obedience to Jesus as noted. This author is also reminded of a truth, again, for example, that this author decided to believe in Jesus ... and chose to follow Jesus – then after regeneration realised through the Holy Spirit’s anointing that in eternity past Jesus decided for this author ... and Jesus chose this author (Eph 1:4; Jn 6:37, 44, 65; 10:29). These references are discussed and printed.

⁴⁰ Thomas R Schreiner, “Does Scripture Teach Prevenient Grace in the Wesleyan Sense?” in Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 243

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Having said this, this author also agrees with Schreiner - “however, most evangelicals, including this author, understand repentance from sin to be an essential part of true conversion and argue that no-one can genuinely trust Christ as a Saviour from his or her sins unless the person has repented of those sins. I need to add again – God’s sovereign regeneration including calling and drawing also includes conviction of the elect to repent and thus initiating the process of conversion, the process of knowing and growing.

Therefore, although justification is by faith alone, faith is always accompanied by repentance, and always results in a changed pattern of life. The Westminster Confession of Faith aptly says:

“Faith, thus receiving and resting on Christ and his righteousness, is alone the instrument of justification: yet is it not alone in the person justified, but ever accompanied with all other saving graces, and is no dead faith, but worketh by love (11:2).”⁴¹

⁴¹ Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware, eds Still Sovereign. (Grand Rapids, Mich, Baker Books, 2000), p 135

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Schreiner, in his footnotes comments that “although many would argue that God enables us to repent and believe, all would agree that we repent and we believe; God does not repent and believe for us.”⁴² This author agrees with Schreiner’s footnote, that we have a responsibility, but suggests that further comment is needed, for Ephesians 2:8 says “for it is by grace you have been saved, through faith – and this is not from yourselves, it is a gift from God.” In a sense Schreiner, in his footnote, is correct when dealing with the statement repentance and faith is a compound conditional statement. However, the reality is that God, through grace, gives us the gift of faith and no human effort can contribute to our salvation – it is God’s gift: however repentance, as this author suggests, is something we do, in the sense of obedience, but it also involves a component of God’s enabling, part of the “good works which God prepared in advance for us to do” (Eph 2:10).

This author still finds these truths of repentance and faith difficult to bend the finite mind around. Regeneration has to come first as discussed. Regeneration comes first as God’s initiative and work and it is instantaneous to the newly born-again member of God’s elect. This powerful, awesome mind renewing reality is a

⁴² Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware, eds Still Sovereign. (Grand Rapids, Mich, Baker Books, 2000), p 136

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

marvellous surprise. At this point each person knows nothing of the truths of God – their knowing and growing has just begun. The process of conversion which is now their response, begins along with their walk in obedience. And what a walk it is! A walk from sin and unrighteousness (Rom 3:10-12) to life and righteousness after regeneration, in Jesus. After regeneration we are still imperfect and will sin. This author is not advocating a doctrine of sinless perfection. However, it is important to also emphasise here the truth of Romans 6:1-18:

1. What shall we say, then? Shall we go on sinning so that grace may increase? 2. By no means! We died to sin; how can we live in it any longer? 3. Or don't you know that all of us who were baptized into Christ Jesus were baptized into his death? 4. We were therefore buried with him through baptism into death in order that, just as Christ was raised from the dead through the glory of the Father, we too may live a new life. 5. If we have been united with him like this in his death, we will certainly also be united with him in his resurrection. 6. For we know that our old self was crucified with him so that the body of sin might be done away with, that we should no longer be slaves to sin – 7. Because anyone who has died has been freed from sin. 8. Now if we died with Christ, we believe that we will also live with him. 9. For we know that since Christ was

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

raised from the dead he cannot die again; death no longer has mastery over him. 10. The death he died, he died to sin once for all; but the life he lives, he lives to God. 11. In the same way, count yourselves dead to sin but alive to God in Christ Jesus. 12. Therefore do not let sin reign in your mortal body so that you obey its evil desires. 13. Do not offer parts of your body to sin, as instruments of wickedness, but rather offer yourselves to God, as those who have been brought from death to life; and offer the parts of your body to him as instruments of righteousness. 14. For sin shall not be your master, because you are not under law, but under grace. 15. What then? Shall we sin because we are not under law but under grace? By no means! 16. Don't you know that when you offer yourselves to someone to obey him as slaves, you are slaves to the one whom you obey – whether you are slaves to sin, which leads to death, or to obedience, which leads to righteousness? 17. But thanks be to God that, though you used to be slaves to sin, you wholeheartedly obeyed the form of teaching to which you were entrusted. 18. You have been set free from sin and have become slaves to righteousness.”

This passage is critical to the newly elect's walk in obedience. This author thus highlights verse 2 above that “we died to sin – how can we live in it any longer?” This emphasises that the newly elect

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

person can be free from sin – he or she really does not have to sin anymore! Each has a faith relationship with Jesus. As a result of this union each can call on the Holy Spirit to give guidance and strength not to allow sin to entangle. Further in verse 6 as a result of the new life (v 4) the old self has been “done away with” and each does not have to sin – does not have to be led into temptation. Finally as verse 18 teaches each has “been set free from sin” and leads a righteous and obedient life – being declared righteous by Jesus. However, Jesus is the only One who has not sinned, did not sin, and never will sin. He only is perfection. Because the Christian has the Spirit of God within, any disobedient action will bring about conviction and hence repentance. It is the unbeliever who will not experience this conviction (John 16:7 ff).

Concerning Erickson’s analysis on God’s teaching of adoption, Tenney adds a contrast between the old and the new covenant, “the Old Covenant involved a revelation of the holiness of God in a righteous standard of law which those who reached it were solemnly enjoined to keep. The New Covenant embodies a revelation of the holiness of God in an utterly righteous Son, who empowers those who received the revelation to become sons of

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

God by making them righteous (Jn 1:12).”⁴³ Tenney adds that “John defines the belief in Christ as receiving Him.”⁴⁴ This author sees this as confirming the believer’s adoption. An additional point made by Tenney to be stated that Jesus was the Son of God by nature; the disciples could become sons of God only by receiving Christ. This author notes this by comparing Tenney’s comments in John 1:12. This author considers this a great comfort especially when considering what Paul says in Colossians 1:20f that “through the blood of His cross they are reconciled to God.”⁴⁵ This author sees this as our great hope of glory.

This author also notes Young’s comment on righteousness that it has all to do with God and nothing to do with man concerning salvation – “salvation is by grace, that is, it is of God and not of man.”⁴⁶

This author notes a clear parallel discussed by Young reflecting the word of Jesus in Mark 1:15 about “repent” – which this author views

⁴³ Merrill C Tenney, New Testament Survey. (Grand Rapids, Mich, Eerdmans, 1983), p 124

⁴⁴ Ibid. 190

⁴⁵ Ibid. 322

⁴⁶ Edward J Young, An Introduction to the Old Testament. (London: The Tyndale Press, 1966), p 211

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

as “turn around”. Young emphasises “turn, O backsliding children ...” (Jer 3:14)

This author is encouraged by comments by Young concerning the relationship between faithfulness and righteousness, obedience and wisdom. This is clear in Young’s following explanation. God is going to use a less righteous people to punish Judah who are more righteous, yet unfaithful and disobedient (Hab 1:13). Young then discusses the need of Messianic work and he suggests “This Messianic work is described in both negative and positive terms; negative – restraining the transgression, completing sin, and covering iniquity; positive – bringing in everlasting righteousness, sealing vision and prophecy ...”⁴⁷ This author sees this as a clear reference to the 400 silent years before Jesus who is seen yet concealed in the Old Covenant is to come into His world.

This author reflects on the work of Grudem who also comments on the relationship of faithfulness and righteousness, obedience and wisdom. Grudem adds “When we as God’s people walk in His ways, we come to know more and more fully by experience that the Kingdom of God is indeed ‘righteousness and peace and joy in the

⁴⁷ Ibid. 375

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Holy Spirit' (Rom 14:17).⁴⁸ Grudem has made a wonderful comment here, as he has emphasised walking in God's ways and in actually walking - experiencing the Kingdom – the rule of Jesus in the heart of a faithful and obedient servant. The reference to Romans 14:17 is important. This author goes one step further by mentioning 14:18 which focuses on the peace and joy from service and the reality that Jesus and others are pleased – "...because anyone who serves Christ in this way is pleasing to God and approved by men." (Rom 14:18)

⁴⁸ Wayne Grudem, *Systematic Theology*. (Leicester: IVP, 1994), p 203

Scripture

In this key area of the sovereignty of God this author now focuses on the subject of Scripture and is thankful for the contributions of other authors. Apart from Scripture, which is God's special revelation. From this author's ministry it is clear that scholarly and non-scholarly liberals have a completely different view on the authority of Scripture as compared to Bible believing Christians. This author refers again to the section "Unbelieving Liberals Misconceptions" in Chapter 4 below. It is important to emphasise the danger of liberal error. This author was at a Ministry School where the question of homosexuality was discussed. This author mentioned the truths in Scripture (Rom 1:27; 1 Cor 6:9) but the response from liberal clergy was that the Letters of Paul, Peter and John were not Scripture. This author then mentioned the truths of Sodom and Gomorrah as one of my colleagues said that only the Old Testament was actually Scripture. On making this statement the reply to me was – "move with the times." It is clear to this author that liberals do not accept the authority of Scripture. This was further proven when the Gospels were not referred to as truth but as story. Hence the need to emphasise God's sovereignty and authority as recorded in Scripture.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

I mention some important comments about general revelation later below. Yarbrough in Schreiner explains the importance of Scripture in divine sovereignty still discussing election, commenting on the Gospel of John that it “lays great stress on divine initiative in salvation.”⁴⁹ He goes further to ask the question about what is the role and importance of human faith? Yarbrough cites Osbourne who “argues that in John’s Gospel ‘sovereignty and responsibility exist side by side.’ He suggests that divine election works ‘with one’s faith decision.’ Election does not produce faith ...”⁵⁰ Yarbrough explains that Osbourne’s understanding of John’s gospel is inadequate and controversial. However, divine sovereignty is stressed in human faith and that “John’s Gospel explicitly centres more on the sovereignty of God.”⁵¹ Osbourne cites John 3:1-15, 5:21, 6:35-40, 15:16-9, and Chapters 9, 10, 17. In his conclusion, Yarbrough comments how complex the doctrine of divine election, foreknowledge and predestination are and encourages Christians to question not feud and to “cling to the sole sufficiency of grace in Christ.”⁵² And he again notes Osbourne’s “recourse to the paradoxical coexistence of sovereign grace and

⁴⁹ Robert W Yarbrough, “Divine Election in the Gospel of John.” In Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 56

⁵⁰ Ibid

⁵¹ Ibid, 57

⁵² Ibid, 61

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

human choice [that] at least attempts to leave God free to do His sovereign bidding.”⁵³

Further concerning Scripture, Westblade gives more in-depth analysis to the sovereignty of God in his discussion on divine election in the Pauline literature. He notes Paul’s “overriding concern to ascribe sovereign glory to God forever by depending on all things from Him, apprehending all things through Him, and attributing all things to Him ...”⁵⁴ Westblade highlights Paul’s acknowledgment of God’s sovereignty by citing the Letter to the Ephesians “...electing the saints before the foundation of the world to be fellow heirs with Christ in God’s grander purpose...(1:6, 11, 14) ...all things that have been created (1:10) and all things that occur (1:11) accord with the good pleasure (1:5, 9) of God’s will a purpose that He set forth beforehand in Christ as a plan.”⁵⁵ This comment from Westblade makes it crystal clear “salvation is a gift of God and depends wholly on God’s call (1:18, 2:8).”⁵⁶ Further he comments on Paul’s letter to the Romans that “without God we are dead (2:1, 5).”⁵⁷ He adds “moral corpses that we are, the only hope we have for a will that turns its passion toward God lies in the call of God ...clear evidence of Paul’s own confidence in the

⁵³ Ibid

⁵⁴ Donald J Westblade, “Divine Election in the Pauline Literature.” In Still Sovereign eds Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p72

⁵⁵ Ibid

⁵⁶ Ibid

⁵⁷ Ibid

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

sovereignty of God over the human heart and well may be found in the manner in which he prays.”⁵⁸ Westblade highlights references to Paul’s prayers, for example Philippians 1:9, 1 Thessalonians 3:12 and 2 Thessalonians 2:16, 3:16. Thus Westblade highlights Paul’s defence of God’s sovereignty in two ways; that God always receives glory for what He does including His choices, and secondly those chosen or elected should always recognise the unshakability “of their security in the unwavering resolve of God Himself to be glorified for His faithfulness to His own purposes.”⁵⁹

This author includes here some comments by Packer which show God’s omnipotence – that everything – even our election is part of His plan. Packer in Schreiner also adds to the discussion of the sovereignty of God and begins with love – the love word ‘agape’ and defines it “in terms of the love shown forth in Christ ...love of a kind the world never dreamed of before.”⁶⁰ God sending His Son fits into the Biblical witness of the whole sovereignty of God and Packer discusses God’s being – His communicable and incommunicable attributes. This is important here as Packer notes that in the former “in our sanctification they begin to be reproduced

⁵⁸ Ibid, 73

⁵⁹ Ibid, 75

⁶⁰ J I Packer, “The Love of God: Universal and Particular.” In Still Sovereign eds Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 279

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

in us ... as wisdom, truth, goodness, ... holiness and righteousness... the latter, commonly listed as self-existence ... immutability, infinity, eternity and simplicity (meaning inner integration).”⁶¹ Packer goes even further in analysing the sovereignty of God by explaining the trinity of the divine Lord ... and the unity of the divine character who is “unchangeably wise, just, pure, good and true.”⁶²

Commenting on God’s sovereignty Ortlund quotes Jeremiah 20:7-9 concerning “His word is in my heart like a fire shut up in my bones ...” and Ortlund notes that Jeremiah’s confession “sober both Calvinists and the Arminian, lest we trivialise the doctrine of God’s sovereignty as a mere debating point.”⁶³ This author comments that this is not an insignificant point made here, as sometimes for the right reason trying to compartmentalise thoughts into our finite minds we can actually lose track of the significance of the sovereignty of God. Correct doctrine keeps us on track in our true understanding of the sovereignty of God. This author is taking a Calvinist view, and acknowledges that an Arminian view would favour Erickson’s order. As Christian our minds are in Christ (1 Cor

⁶¹ Ibid.

⁶² Ibid, 280

⁶³ Raymond C Ortlund, Jr, “The Sovereignty of God: Case Studies in the Old Testament.” In Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 39

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

2:16). We must never leave the main focus of the sovereignty of God when trying to explain doctrine such as Erickson's logical order. The finiteness of man leads to an incomplete understanding of God's infinite plan for His creation. This leads to confusion of terms used to describe the processes God has put in place. Here the terms are 'conversion' and 'regeneration'. God is our all, our being – indeed our every breath we take; the author of our regeneration and thus the giver of our faith. I remain convinced Erickson has been very helpful in his work, but does not totally grasp the order regeneration then conversion, not conversion and then regeneration.

After all, all these efforts are to grow more in the knowledge of Him, with the main purpose to actually do those works which he has "prepared in advance for us to do" (Eph 2:10).

Further this author is encouraged by Ortlund's reference (Jer 20:7-9) concerning "...His word". This author is reminded here of Jesus the eternal Living Word, the Great "I AM", the absolute Truth (Jn 14:6). Jesus is the Truth spoken by the sovereign God – indeed the second Person, the sovereign Son who declares God's promises.

Effectual Call

Ware discusses effectual calling and grace, which this author views as one of the most marvellous doctrines demonstrating the sovereignty of God and of the Son. Ware explains that the doctrine “refers more specifically to God’s inward and ultimately persuasive summons to repent of sin and turn to Christ for salvation.”⁶⁴ This author is humbled by this great comfort, yet sorrowful because of the fact that not all are given the call and are saved.

Clowney expands on these ideas on effectual call in his comments on preaching and the sovereignty of God, when he discusses the call of Saul, now Paul, who “came as a servant of the Lord preaching the Good News of God’s salvation (Acts 13:47).”⁶⁵ The sovereignty of God is clearly demonstrated in Paul proclaiming and preaching the salvation of God’s calling and only through God’s power. This author’s sorrow, mentioned above, is compared to the great sorrow of Paul when he himself express the grief of the Jews’ rejection of our Lord Jesus Christ. Clowney notes a very important point concerning God’s sovereignty that “God’s word did not fail,

⁶⁴ Bruce A Ware, “Effectual Calling and Grace” in Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books,2000), p 211

⁶⁵ Edmund P Clowney, “Preaching and the Sovereignty of God” in Still Sovereign eds Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 335

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

for His purposes stand in those whom He has chosen ... God chose Isaac not Ishmael; Jacob not Esau ...”⁶⁶

Further on election – Gospel of John, Yarbrough in Schreiner comments on divine election in the Gospel of John beginning with an interesting point that election is “implicit in John’s opening words, ‘in the beginning ...’ (1:1), a clear echo of Genesis 1:1.”⁶⁷ Creation and redemption are spoken of here and explained clearly that “God is, and that He willed to create and to save ... God chose to send the light, not because but in spite of human desire and readiness for it.”⁶⁸

Whilst commenting on divine election in the Gospel of John, Yarbrough in Schreiner also comments on creation. He explains “creation, and accordingly also redemption, can have no other explanation than that God is, and that He willed to create and to save.”⁶⁹

⁶⁶ Ibid.

⁶⁷ Robert W Yarbrough, “Divine Election in the Gospel of John” in Still Sovereign eds Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 48

⁶⁸ Ibid.

⁶⁹ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Commenting further on Erickson's discussion on predestination and election, Tenney links the key idea of the sovereignty of God with the comments on predestination and election, explaining that the "sovereign purpose of God in establishing the church permeates the first half of the Epistle."⁷⁰ He is referring to Paul's letter to the Ephesians (Eph 1:4, 5) where he notes "throughout the epistles runs the one theme of the church. The epistle was not directed to novices in the Christian faith, but to those who having achieved some maturity in spiritual experience wished to go on to fuller knowledge and life."⁷¹

Touching on predestination and election, in his discussion "Against the Pelagians" in his Chapter on Augustine, Placher interestingly notes "out of such reflections, Augustine forged his doctrine of predestination. Again, see the section "Unbelieving Liberal Misconceptions", as many liberals are Universalists not believing in the doctrine of election.

Through grace, God saves some people in spite of their inability to help themselves. Nothing they have done merited that salvation.

⁷⁰ Merrill C Tenney, New Testament Survey (Grand Rapids, Mich, Eerdmans, 1983), p 318

⁷¹ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Yet Scripture insists that God's grace does not extend to all. There are goats as well as sheep; some are consigned to eternal fire. God must simply therefore decide to save some and to leave others, no worse in their characters to the consequences of their sins. Is that unfair? Augustine argued that everyone sins, everyone deserves punishment. God gives some better than they deserve, but no one gets less. The whole theory may make God seem arbitrary but at least it keeps people from being proud. No one can claim to have earned salvation; it is an undeserved gift for which one can only be grateful. The justice of God's predestination depends on the claim that everyone is a sinner deserving punishment..."⁷²

Further concerning predestination and election, Placher makes an important point concerning this doctrine in the early history of Christian theology as he discusses Gottschalk's comments (800) who argued these points with Hincmar "Gottschalk had said that God predestinates some people to damnation. Hincmar protested that God would never do that. He said that God predestinates the elect to salvation, but does not predestinate the condemned. Gottschalk thought this was simply silly: predestinating one group

⁷² William C Placher, A History of Christian Theology. (Philadelphia, Penn: Westminster Pres, 1983), p 115, 116

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

inevitably implied predestinating of the other ...on another issue, Gottschalk argued that people could do good only with grace...”⁷³ Then the argument centred around good “deeds” of non-Christians. However, what this author notes as interesting are comments made by Eruigena who “agreed with Hincmar, but for a reason distinctly his own: since sin and evil do not exist they cannot be predestinated by God.”⁷⁴ What further amazed this author is how Hincmar could have accepted Eriugena’s argument which stands so dramatically outside the truth of Scripture (Rom 3:10-12, 23; 6:1-18; 1 Pet 3:18; Rom 5:8 and 2 Cor 5:21). This author reasons that this is an important point since the Canon of New Testament Scripture was established since c 200.

⁷³ Ibid, 127

⁷⁴ Ibid.

CHAPTER 8

DEITY OF CHRIST

I have discussed the important key areas and doctrine of the preceding chapter “Sovereignty of God” to support the truth of the deity of Jesus and the fact that for eternity He is the believers’ righteousness and wisdom (cf again Lk 24:27 and associated Old Testament references as listed). This author begins the discussion on the deity of Jesus by looking at comments by Griffith Thomas concerning the atoning propitiation sacrifice of Jesus and His righteousness which is imputed to the believer. Griffith Thomas states “It is not enough that our Lord’s death occurred in history; it must also become part of our personal experience, in order that it may be a spiritual force in our life.”⁷⁵ He goes on to explain Article 11 in the Book of Common Prayer – “We are accounted righteous before God, only for the merit of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ by faith, and not for our own works ...”⁷⁶ Commenting on Article 11 Griffith Thomas suggest that it is the compliment of Article 2 – “The Son which is the Word of the Father, begotten from everlasting of the Father, the very and eternal God, and of one substance with the Father, took man’s nature....”⁷⁷ This author observes from Griffith Thomas’ comments the valuable truth that Jesus is the

⁷⁵ W H Griffith Thomas, The Catholic Faith. (London: Church Book Room Press Ltd, 1966), p 54

⁷⁶ Ibid, 55

⁷⁷ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

eternal second Person, the Son from everlasting, the eternal Living Word (Jn 1:1). Where I have said “observes above”, I need to expand this important truth noted by Griffith Thomas concerning “...imputed to the believer.” The phrase above “...imputed to the believer...” is wonderful for the analysis required for the original dissertation. For the review of Erickson’s logical order, this author needs to highlight this following truth. On regeneration the new believer knows almost nothing of the truths of faith God has just given but knows he/she has been born again – born anew – born from above (Jn 3:7). Being filled with the Holy Spirit and knowing this glorious anointing (1 Jn 2:20ff) this imputation is amazing. On regeneration, on being saved, salvation is not lost (Lk 19:10; Heb 13:5). Each new believer now begins to know and grow in obedience. Of course there is a warning in relation to sin as already noted above (Rom 6:1-18). This warning is highlighted in the following passage.

1. Therefore let us leave the elementary teachings about Christ and go on to maturity, not laying again the foundation of repentance from acts that lead to death, and of faith in God,
2. instruction about baptisms, the laying on of hands, the resurrection of the dead, and eternal judgment.
3. And God permitting, we will do so.
4. It is impossible for those who have once been enlightened, who have tasted the heavenly gift,

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

who have shared in the Holy Spirit, 5. who have tasted the goodness of the word of God and the powers of the coming age, 6. if they fall away, to be brought back to repentance, because to their loss they are crucifying the Son of God all over again and subjecting him to public disgrace. 7. Land that drinks in the rain often falling on it and that produces a crop useful to those for whom it is farmed receives the blessing of God. 8. But land that produces thorns and thistles is worthless and is in danger of being cursed. In the end it will be burned. 9. Even though we speak like this, dear friends, we are confident of better things in your case – things that accompany salvation. 10. God is not unjust; he will not forget your work and the love you have shown him as you have helped his people and continue to help them. 11. We want each of you to show this same diligence to the very end, in order to make your hope sure. 12. We do not want you to become lazy, but to imitate those who through faith and patience inherit what has been promised. 13. When God made his promise to Abraham, since there was no one greater for him to swear by, he swore by himself, 14. saying, “I will surely bless you and give you many descendants.” 15. And so after waiting patiently, Abraham received what was promised. 16. Men swear by someone greater than themselves, and the

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

oath confirms what is said and puts an end to all argument. 17. Because God wanted to make the unchanging nature of his purpose very clear to the heirs of what was promised, he confirmed it with an oath. 18. God did this so that, by two unchangeable things in which it is impossible for God to lie, we who have fled to take hold of the hope offered to us may be greatly encouraged. 19. We have this hope as an anchor for the soul, firm and secure. It enters the inner sanctuary behind the curtain, 20. where Jesus, who went before us, has entered on our behalf. He has become a high priest forever, in the order of Melchizedek. (Hebrews 6)

This author has emphasised that after regeneration the new believer will want to know and grow. Thus you will note that the passage starts with the word “therefore” which refers back to a previous warning about falling away. This author asks the question, “Can a Christian fall away?” In the passage quoted the writer suggests that we “leave the elementary teachings about Christ and go onto maturity.” The passage raises the question about falling from grace or losing salvation. This author suggests that the passage does not teach that one of the elect can ever lose salvation as suggested in verses 4-6. This author suggests that this is a “hypothetical argument” which warns Christians from a Jewish background that being born in the Spirit will result in

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

encouragement from the Holy Spirit to want to know more about Jesus and to grow to maturity in Him. This author also suggests these verses are a warning against backsliding. This author does not consider that this passage is suggesting that the believer can “lose their salvation” for the truth is that this cannot happen. What can be the case is that the person had never been regenerated in the first place. This author has included the whole passage (Heb 6) to emphasise that even though this Scripture “speaks like this” (v9) there is confidence that God is at work in their hearts and that they are regenerated and that these words in Scripture here are a great encouragement to those who are regenerated but who need emphasis by God of His promises and that they need to constantly look to Him whilst waiting patiently for His continual blessings. If there is any doubt on the part of the believer, this should not be seen as a sign that the believer is not a regenerated person. Doubt should send the believer back to God’s word in order to reconcile any differences or address any doubts. For example, if prayer is unanswered, a believer would not turn their back on God and His promises, but would go back to God’s word to confirm that God’s ways are far better than ours (cf Jn 6:39-40; 10:27-30; Phil 1:9-10; 3:12-17). This author includes the whole of Hebrews 6 passage for context purposes. It is dangerous in some cases to take a verse of Scripture by itself to prove a particular point.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Concerning the fact of Christ's deity, this author is reminded of how all God's righteousness and wisdom dwells in His Son – the sovereign Lord Jesus. Several thoughts from the key area Sovereignty of God are therefore re-emphasised in the context of the truth of the Deity of Jesus. The truths of Scripture need to be printed here for encouragement.

“Jews demand miraculous signs and Greeks look for wisdom, but we preach Christ crucified: a stumbling block to Jews and foolishness to Gentiles, but for those whom God has called, both Jews and Greeks, Christ the power of God and the wisdom of God...it is because of Him you are in Christ Jesus, who has become for us wisdom from God – that is, our righteousness, holiness and redemption.” (1 Cor 1:22-24, 30).

There is also Jesus' truth about His salvation–rest and that believers can find comfort through faith in Him.

Jesus said: “All things have been committed to me by my Father. No-one knows the Son except the Father, and

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

no-one knows the Son except the Father, and no-one knows the Father except the Son and those to whom the Son chooses to reveal Him. 'Come to me, all who are weary and burdened and I will give you rest...' (Mt 11:27, 28).

We can completely trust Jesus for He also said: "All authority in heaven and on earth has been given to me." (Mt 28:18)

This is a very important point for it confirms the truth of Jesus' authority as the apostle John explains:

"The Father loves the Son and has placed everything in His hands. Whoever believes in the Son has eternal life, but whoever rejects the Son will not see life, for God's wrath remains on them." (Jn 3:35-36)

This is also supported by the following truths about the authority of Jesus and His election of those chosen before the foundation of the world as explained in the references (Jn 5:24; 6:37, 44, 65; 10:12; 17:1-8, 24-26; Eph 1:4-5).

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

This reality is clearly seen in the Old Testament record when God speaks to Moses out of the burning bush, and Moses asks about God's name, and God says to Moses:

“I AM WHO I AM. This is what you are to say to the Israelites: ‘I AM has sent me to you.’” (Ex 3:14)

Clearly it was Jesus speaking out of the burning bush as God, the Living Word, who was in the Old Testament concealed, who is in the New Testament revealed and who is to come. Jesus is the eternal second Person of YAHWEH. In John 6:35; 8:12; 10:7; 10:11; 11:25; 14:6 and 15:1, Jesus as God, in His glorious deity, speaks again as God using His name “I AM.” Jesus' deity is also seen with references firstly to John 4:26:

“Then Jesus declared ‘I who speak to you am He.’”

This author comments on the words ‘am He’ with the truth that in Greek Jesus is actually saying “I am....I am God” which reflects His Name (Ex 3:14), and His revelation of Himself as the eternal Lord in reality in His Old Covenant.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

This is an eternal truth to His deity even before He came into His world. Secondly this is further confirmed by the apostle John – John 8:58:

Jesus said: “I tell you the truth, before Abraham was born, I am!”

Erickson importantly comments and notes “that rather than saying, ‘I was,’ He says, ‘I am.’ Erickson notes that Leon Morris suggests there is an implied contrast here between ‘a mode of being which has a definite beginning’ ... ‘one of which is eternal’. It is also quite possible that Jesus is alluding to the ‘I AM formula’ which the LORD identified Himself in Exodus 3:14-15.⁷⁸ Further, Tenney adds to Erickson with a very succinct comment concerning the deity of Christ, providing ample references explaining that before His enemies He used language that predicted both pre-existence and deity (Jn 8:42, 58; 10:30-33, 36; Mt 22:41-45). Also concerning Erickson’s discussion on the deity of Christ, Tenney notes that in Christ God is perfectly pictured (Col 1:15), and “that in Him all the fullness of deity resides (1:19), and that in Him are hidden all the

⁷⁸ Millard J Erickson, Christian Theology. (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1998), p 702

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

treasures of wisdom and knowledge (2:3).”⁷⁹ This author includes again here for the purpose of context comments made earlier by Milne about the deity of Jesus. Concerning the deity of Christ, the Lordship of Jesus, Milne explains that we need no longer “wait further revelation which might supersede His self-disclosure in Jesus Christ. As the eternal Son of God, the reality of the eternal God Himself, Jesus is the ultimate revelation, the truth in whom are hidden all the treasures of wisdom and knowledge (Jn 14:6; Col 2:3).”⁸⁰

Wisdom of God’s Choosing in Christ

Concerning the wisdom of Christ and being mindful of His divinity, one area this author is very interested in, is the authority given to Jesus by the Father concerning the truth again in John 10:29:

“My Father, who has given them to me, is greater than all; no one can snatch out of my Father’s hand.”

Jesus follows this with the wonderful truth, “I and the Father are one” (Jn 10:30).

⁷⁹ Merrill C Tenney, New Testament Survey. (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1983), p 323

⁸⁰ Bruce Milne, Know the Truth. (Leicester: Inter-Varsity Press, 1982), p 67

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Does God choose as He pleases? Does His choice depend on advanced awareness? For Augustine, suggests Erickson, “for God by an unalterable decree has determined who is to be saved; being totally of God’s grace, salvation in no way depends on humans or what they do...”⁸¹

Following these comments by Augustine, Erickson looks at differing views of predestination, firstly Calvin. He notes the “acronym TULIP: total depravity, unconditional predestination, limited atonement, irresistible grace and perseverance.”⁸² This author has touched on these truths already, however the focus and context now concerns Jesus and His deity as God – the Son of God. Erickson notes that total depravity “means that every individual is so sinful as to be unable to respond to any offer of grace.”⁸³ This author sees this in many instances where Jesus speaks to the Pharisees. He adds that sometimes “the phrase ‘total inability’ is used, meaning that sinners have lost the ability to do good and are unable to convert themselves.” This author adds the last two words “convert themselves” should actually be “regenerate themselves” as conversion is the process of growth and knowledge as the elect’s response.

⁸¹ Millard J Erickson, Christian Theology. (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1998), p 924

⁸² Ibid, 928

⁸³ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

This is why this author has already acknowledged Romans 3:10-12 and Jesus' words:

“The Son of Man came to seek and to save what was lost.”
(Lk 19:10).

Thus here this author observes and sees the need to look to Jesus completely in the right action or behaviour with the aim of being successful for eternity through faith in Jesus – being declared righteous, and the comfort only He gives, mindful that even our faith is His gift (Eph 2:5-8). Jesus is Saviour and has God's full authority. Further commenting on God's actions, Erickson notes the choice of the nation of Israel and comments “in Romans 9 Paul argues impressively that all of these choices are totally of God and in no way depend on the people chosen.”⁸⁴ Romans 9:15-16 is cited here. Erickson also notes that election is “Efficacious”,⁸⁵ in that those whom God chooses will most certainly come to faith in Jesus and, for that matter, will persevere in that faith to the end.”⁸⁶ A comment on “chooses.” Erickson comments here that election is efficacious and the elect will certainly come to faith in Jesus and

⁸⁴ Ibid, 930

⁸⁵ Ibid.

⁸⁶ Millard J Erickson, *Christian Theology*. (Grand Rapids, Mich: Baker Books, 1998), p 930

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

will persevere to the end could be more accurately stated. This author has already revisited and discussed the sovereignty of God. What this author is emphasising here is that Erickson's comments in this context limit the sovereignty of God. This author asks the question, who is doing the "coming to faith"? Who is doing the "persevering to the end"? This author emphasises here that God gives the faith in Jesus, through the grace of Jesus (Rom 3:22, 26); where the Greek teaches faith "of" Jesus (again, Rom 3:22; Eph 2:5-8), and God preserves His elect (Heb 13:5).

Erickson explains that we confidently understand God's plan will be fulfilled and that the "elect will come to faith,"⁸⁷ also understanding that we must not criticise ourselves when in our witness some do not come to faith in Jesus for that occurred in His ministry also (Jn 6:37, 44), and we should be encouraged in our witness and evangelism as we do not know who is the elect and we must understand and acknowledge that it is all by grace – God's unmerited favour through the authority given through Jesus. What a comfort this is and an encouragement to press on towards the goal God has given to believers – to be successful, that is to be faithful to Jesus.

⁸⁷ Ibid, 940

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Commenting on the above – the Grace of Jesus, and Jesus’ requirement also for our obedience, Carson in his reflections on assurance makes an interesting comment about election that as an “element in the Biblical portrayal of God’s sovereignty never functions so as to destroy human responsibility...”⁸⁸ This author adds that here “sovereignty” points directly to the deity of Jesus. This is a very important truth. Jesus taught the wisdom of this truth the day before Good Friday.

“Jesus said: ‘I am the Way the Truth and the Life.

Jesus said: “If you love me you will obey what I command.”
(Jn14:15)

Jesus then explained that he had authority to say these words
– authority

“As the Father has loved me, so have I loved you. Now remain in my love. If you obey my commands, you will remain in my love, just as I have obeyed my Father’s commands and remain in His love.” (Jn 15:9-10)

⁸⁸ D A Carson, “Reflections on Assurance” Still Sovereign, eds Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 272

Given by God the Father to God the Son

It's wonderful to focus again on the truth of the selfless love of Jesus – His dedication to “save His people from their sins” (Mt 1:21) – His obligatory love, His mission and duty in obedience to His Father to complete the Father's purposes – the redemption of the elect. Also commenting on the point above, Schreiner explains that God – YAHWEH (Father, Son and Holy Spirit) – is completely sovereign and “yet human choices and responsibility are not a charade. God ordains all that comes to pass and is good; and yet evil exists, and it is really evil. God chooses only some to be saved, and yet there is also the true sense in which He desires the salvation of all. Those who are elect will never lose their salvation, and yet those who do not persevere to the end will not inherit the Kingdom of God.”⁸⁹ The above statement is of a human mind – a Christian brother or sister with the mind of Christ. However, none can judge, and this author thus focuses on the truth of the parable of the sower and the wheat and the tares here.

Westblade in Schreiner comments on ability in his discussion on divine election. He notes “God Himself gives and withholds the ability to trust. God, not the sinner, should therefore be held

⁸⁹ Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware, eds Still Sovereign. (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 19

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

responsible.”⁹⁰ This highlights how difficult this theological truth is to our finite minds as Westblade continues “that God gives, an ability to trust to have a will that is favourably disposed, is a moral ability, one that we commonly distinguish in practice from our natural or physical abilities.”⁹¹ Schreiner expands this comment by his comment on prevenient grace where he distinguishes between moral and physical ability. He notes that “God gives commands to unbelievers that they can physically obey; that is, they could observe His commandments if they desired to do so. Unbelievers are morally unable to keep God’s commands in the sense that they have no desire to obey all of His commandments.”⁹² Thus he explains prevenient grace that before they repent as the scriptures teach “that they have no moral ability to believe, and the only way they will believe is if they are given by the Father to the Son. This revelation is not vouchsafed to all people but only to the elect.”⁹³ He takes this thought one step further by commenting on Scripture where Jesus commands believers “to be perfect (Mt 5:48), but the need for forgiveness (Mt 6:14-15) demonstrates that perfection is impossible to attain.”⁹⁴ This author notes as Schreiner discusses

⁹⁰ Donald Westblade, “Divine Election in the Pauline Literature.” *Still Sovereign* eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 79

⁹¹ Ibid.

⁹² Thomas R Schreiner, “Does Scripture Teach Prevenient Grace in the Wesleyan Sense?” in *Still Sovereign* eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 243

⁹³ Ibid.

⁹⁴ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

that it is difficult for humankind to accept their responsibility for sin irrespective of the truth that “they are born with an inclination and that will inevitably lead them to sin.”⁹⁵ The reality discussed by Schreiner that it is “the kindness of God”⁹⁶ that should give people understanding and leading to repentance. As Schreiner had already stated that Adam was still responsible for their “failure to respond to God’s grace.”⁹⁷ These are awesome thoughts as we stand in awe of the righteousness and wisdom of Jesus in the care of our loving and merciful Father who patiently waits for those to change – to turn to Him in repentance and faith answering the call in actions that are successful – wise actions for now and eternity. This author notes here that this discussion seems to override election. This author’s finite mind has great difficulty in the theology of election as, although having the mind of Christ (1 Cor 2:16), Schreiner seems to be ignoring God’s predestination. This author reasons that the Holy Spirit through the conviction of those to be regenerated will always be successful through Jesus’ irresistible grace. This author cannot judge as stated but can only be a faithful teacher of Scripture to all. This author is not a judge but a fruit inspector. Those who are elect will come to Jesus. Only Jesus

⁹⁵ Ibid, 244

⁹⁶ Ibid.

⁹⁷ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

knows who the elect are. The elect will respond to the Holy Spirit's, the Spirit of Jesus' effectual calling.

“And everyone who calls on the name of the Lord will be saved.” (Acts 2:21)

This author mentions again that the elect will know their calling after regeneration.

In the verse above Peter is addressing the crowd and referring to Jesus, and the deity of Jesus whom God raised from the dead to pay the price of sin of those who trust Jesus (also Rom 5:8; 6:23; 2 Cor 5:21; 1 Pe 3:18). This author further clarifies the truth “...God raised...” that the sovereignty of Jesus is clearly seen here in that the Father gave Jesus authority (Jn 15:9-10) to raise Himself (Jn 10:18). This author notes here Peter is acknowledging Jesus as “Lord” but the reference cited is from the Old Testament as follows:

“And everyone who calls on the name of the LORD will be saved. (Joel 2:32)

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

This author acknowledges that this reference refers to YAHWEH and Peter is actually acknowledging Jesus the “Lord” the eternal second Person of YAHWEH.

Effectual Call – The True Wisdom of God in Jesus

Thus this author views the need to discuss effectual call. In thinking about this amazing subject this author focuses on the most positive mindset possible – that concerning a further analysis of Jesus’ authority.

“The Son of Man came to seek and to save what was lost” (Lk 19:10)

This is the epitome of God’s wisdom in Jesus.

Ware discusses effectual calling and grace. Here this author emphasises the grace of Jesus:

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“John testifies concerning Him. He cries out, saying ‘This was He of whom I said, He who comes after me has surpassed me because He was before me. From the fullness of His grace we have all received one blessing after another. For the law was given through Moses; grace and truth came through Jesus Christ. No-one has ever seen God but only God the One and only begotten Son who is at the Father’s side, has made Him known.’” (Jn 1:15-18)

This author views as one of the most marvellous doctrines demonstrating the righteousness and wisdom and sovereignty of God in Jesus. It is Jesus’ righteousness and wisdom – mainly His success to His people in His love. Ware’s comments add to Erickson. I have mentioned before I disagree with Erickson in that I believe the order is regeneration and conversion – the calling, a “persuasive summons”, as Ware explains below.

Ware explains that the doctrine “refers more specifically to God’s inward and ultimately persuasive summons to repent of sin and

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

turn to Christ for salvation.”⁹⁸ This author is humbled by this great comfort. Again this author is reminded of the truths:

“God presented Him (Jesus) as a sacrifice of atonement (propitiation) through faith in His blood. He did this to demonstrate His justice, because in His forbearance He had left the sins committed beforehand unpunished – He did it to demonstrate His justice at the present time, so as to be just and the one who justifies those who have faith in Jesus. Where then is boasting? It is excluded. On what principle? On that of observing the law? No, but on that of faith. For we maintain that a man is justified by faith apart from observing the law.” (Rom 3:25-28)

This author emphasises here that ‘justify’ refers to the believer being declared righteous by God through faith in Jesus. The reality is that no-one is perfect, good or holy by their own self-righteousness (Rom 3:10-12). This author also focuses on the reality of:

⁹⁸ Bruce A Ware, “Effectual Calling and Grace,” in Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 211

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“Praise be to the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, who has blessed us in the heavenly realms with every spiritual blessing in Christ. For He chose us in Him before the creation of the world to be holy and blameless in His sight. In love He predestined us to be adopted as His sons and daughters through Jesus Christ...in Him we were also chosen having been predestined according to the plan of Him who works out everything in conformity with His purpose and will, in order that we, who were the first to hope in Christ, might be for the praise of His glory. And you were included in Christ when you heard the word of truth, the Gospel of your salvation. Having believed, you were marked in Him with a seal, the promised Holy Spirit, who is a deposit guaranteeing our inheritance ...”
(Eph 1:3, 4; 11-14)

This author acknowledges that this is a very important truth especially concerning purity where believers are to be “holy and blameless in the Father’s sight” (again Eph 1:4), and this is discussed later when examining the problem of scholarly and non-scholarly liberal error which condones, for example, homosexuality. This author discusses this in the comments on sanctification.

God's Righteousness and Wisdom Complete in Jesus – Our Adoption

In addition to the truths about adoption discussed in Chapter “Sovereignty of God”, this author also completes these truths by visiting the key area again emphasising the believers’ adoption is complete – indeed completely in Jesus.

Each believer’s salvation in Jesus is real now, yet not complete. This author is encouraged by Wayne Grudem’s wise and interesting thoughts on adoption which he discusses with Schreiner in his contribution – Perseverance of the Saints. Grudem in an interesting way lists “regeneration, justification and adoption”,⁹⁹ as “entirely works of God”, as part of God’s work and thus part of the righteousness, wisdom and sovereignty of God or more accurately the sovereignty of Jesus, the Son of God. In his comments on perseverance of the saints, Grudem in Schreiner discusses the elements at the beginning of the Christian life, discussing regeneration, conversion, justification, adoption and sanctification. He suggests that regeneration, justification and adoption “are entirely works of God.”¹⁰⁰ However, he suggest that conversion,

⁹⁹ Wayne Grudem, “Perseverance of Saints: A Case from the Warning Passages in Hebrews.” In Still Sovereign eds. Thomas R Schreiner, Bruce A Ware (Grand Rapids: Baker Books, 2000), p 135

¹⁰⁰ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“which includes repentance from sins and faith in Christ ... is entirely a work of man.”¹⁰¹ This author agrees with Grudem’s comment that conversion “includes repentance from sin and a faith in Christ,”¹⁰² but this author would go one step further in that argument by saying that the new believer is growing in faith, a faith which has not really reached the point of regeneration – a time of “seeking Jesus” – then if truly chosen then there is regeneration which is instantaneous, and is in the order regeneration and then conversion.

This author makes a point about faith below, discussed also in the section where this author has discussed the truth that God gives faith. Humankind is responsible for being obedient.

Thus God has given the elect faith. Thus this author focuses on the marvellous compound conditional truth concerning the gift of faith given by the grace of Jesus our Saviour who came to save His people:

¹⁰¹ Ibid.

¹⁰² Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“For it is by grace you have been saved, through faith – and this not from yourselves, it is a gift of God – not by works so that no-one can boast.” (Eph 2:8-9)

There is no way that humankind as a result of the Fall could come to Jesus using their own strength (again Rom 3:10-12). This author also acknowledges the hymn:

“All my hope on God is founded,
All my trust He shall renew;
He, my guide through changing order,
Only good and only true.

God unknown

He alone

Calls my heart to be His own.

Still from man to God eternal

Sacrifice of praise be done,

High above all praised praising

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

For the gift of Christ His Son.

Hear Christ's call

One and all:

We who follow shall not fall."¹⁰³

This author is also reminded that Calvin teaches the truth of unconditional election which supports the above reference and words of the hymn, with the following Scriptures:

“Jesus said ‘All that the father gives me will come to me, and whoever comes to me I will never drive away.’” (Jn 6:37)

“No-one can come to me unless the father who sent me draws him, and I will raise him up at the last day.” (Jn 6:44)

This is why I told you that no-one can come to me unless the Father has enabled him.” (Jn 6:65)

¹⁰³ Robert Bridges, in Mission Praise. Hymn number 16

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“The Jews gathered around Him, saying ‘How long will you keep us in suspense? If you are the Christ (Messiah), tell us plainly’. Jesus answered, ‘I did tell you but you did not believe. The miracles I do in my Father’s name speak for me, but you do not believe because you are not my sheep. My sheep listen to my voice; I know them, and they follow me. I give them eternal life, and they shall never perish; No-one can snatch them out of my hand. My Father, who has given them to me, is greater than all; no-one can snatch them out of my Father’s hand. I and the father are one.’”(Jn 10:24-30)

“Yet, before the twins were born or had done anything good or bad – in order that God’s purpose in election might stand: not by works but by Him who calls – she was told ‘the older will serve the younger.’ Just as it is written: Jacob I loved but Esau I hated. What then shall we say? Is God unjust? Not at all! For He says to Moses, I will have mercy on whom I have mercy and I will have compassion on whom I have compassion. It does not, therefore, depend on man’s desire or effort, but on God’s mercy. For the Scripture says to Pharaoh, “I raised you up for this very purpose, that I might display my power in you and that my name might be proclaimed in all the earth. Therefore, God has mercy on who

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

He wants to have mercy, and He hardens whom He wants to harden.” (Rom 9:11-18)

In God’s love and care those who are elect are more than conquerors:

“And we know that in all things God works for the good for those who love Him, who have been called according to His purpose.” (Rom 8:28)

This author adds here on this teaching on election that through the Holy Spirit God’s effectual calling results in a positive response for those whom He loves and saves.

With regard to the calling and faith given, the elect are to do those things He has prepared in advance for believers to do (Eph 2:10; also 2 Tim 1:9). Our successful actions completed in Christ through His strength. Walking with Jesus in the now and not yet, waiting for our adoption as sons and daughters to be complete. This is our certain hope – our known hope. As a result of faith and our justification. Our adoption is such a positive state in our relationship with God – its ultimate success – it’s the wisdom of

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

God and our “positive standing,”¹⁰⁴ as a child of God in His eternity. A child “restored to a position of favour with God.”¹⁰⁵ There is nothing more successful than this.

¹⁰⁴ Millard J Erickson, Christian Theology. (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1998), p 974

¹⁰⁵ *Ibid.*

Calling and Regeneration

The historic fact of Jesus the Son of God coming into His world to save sinners (Mt 1:21) and the reality of God being with us (Mt 1:23) gives each believer new life with the joy of the guidance of the Holy Spirit. Scripture encourages us in our obedience to God's commands (Is 45:22; Eph 2:4-5). Davis highlights the truth that "the old sinful nature must be changed before man can stand in the presence of God."¹⁰⁶ (Jn 3:5-7)

¹⁰⁶ John Jefferson Davis, *Basic Bible Texts*. (Grand Rapids: Zondervan 1984), p 86

Repentance and Faith

Faith of Jesus – Faith in Jesus

God's saving faith gives the believer a new beginning when the believer repents and turns from sin acknowledging Jesus as Lord and accepting His free offer of salvation. Davis notes that firstly John (Mt 3:1-2) preached repentance and Jesus (Mt 4:12, 17) "stressed repentance as an essential prerequisite for entering the Kingdom"¹⁰⁷ and salvation in Him through faith (Gal 2:20). This author adds the important comment in Scripture (Rom 3:25; Heb 9:15) that Jesus redeems those under the first covenant and the new covenant, as He is the once and for all time atoning sacrifice (Heb 9:12, 26). This author also says of the kingdom that God's reign was drawing near in the Person and ministry of Jesus (Mt 4:17).

As from the heading above, we may reason that our faith is given to us (Eph 2:5-8) is simply faith in Jesus.

But we must remember our faith is a gift as the faith of Jesus (Rom 3:22).

¹⁰⁷ Ibid, 89

Justification

This author has already made previous context comments about justification in the section “Definitions” and now adds some thoughts by Davis acknowledging Jesus’ deity. Davis explains that God pardons all our sins and accepts us as righteous and that this is through faith. This author adds that righteousness is God’s commitment to do (for those to whom He has given faith) for us what we cannot do for ourselves. Indeed it is the side of His justice that concerns our rescue and acquittal (Rom 4). Davis notes the Scripture (Rom 3:20, 23, 24, 28; 5:1). This author further adds from Davis’ listing of Romans 3:23, that whilst we are still sinners, through faith God sees us as justified – “Just-if-I or just-as-if-I” had not sinned (this author’s emphasis and comment).

Hunt discusses justification and the word justify, explaining that it means “to declare righteous or to treat as righteous.”¹⁰⁸ He continues “justify is the verdict of acquittal ...”¹⁰⁹

¹⁰⁸ T W Hunt, The Mind of Christ. (Nashville: Broadman and Holman Publishers, 1995), p 152

¹⁰⁹ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Watson defines justification as “an act of God, God’s free grace whereby He pardons all our sins, and accepts us as righteous in His sight, only for the righteousness of Christ, imputed to us, and received by faith alone.”¹¹⁰ Watson highlights that Christ has made us to be righteous through faith (1 Cor 1:30; Rom 5:1). Watson also highlights that “justification is a fixed permanent thing, it can never be lost.”¹¹¹

¹¹⁰ Thomas Watson, A Body of Divinity. (Edinburgh: the Banner of Truth Trust, 1978), p 226

¹¹¹ Ibid, 229

Sanctification

This author makes some comments on sanctification with the help of Davis which have the background focus on Jesus' deity, and how Jesus' deity is compromised by liberal theology. This liberal theology, in this author's view, is in total opposition to true sanctification. These errors are discussed in this chapter under the sub-heading "Unbelieving Liberal Misconceptions" below. Davis explains the Christian growth in holiness is to be like Jesus by faith and obedience with the Holy Spirit's guidance through reading the Scriptures. Sanctification is being set apart for Jesus' purpose (Eph 2:10).

Emphasising Jesus our righteousness, Hunt also states that through faith "righteousness has already begun in those who are linked to Christ ... not by any work of theirs, but by the working of the Spirit of God."¹¹²

Hunt thus makes a clear comment on sanctification that "the justified and regenerated must press on after holiness of life."¹¹³

¹¹² T W Hunt, The Mind of Christ. (Nashville: Broadman and Holman Publishes, 1995), p 153

¹¹³ Ibid, p 159

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Griffith Thomas also comments on sanctification “making righteous – this is sanctification.”¹¹⁴ Also concerning sanctification Griffith Thomas suggests that it is “the basis for our purity.”¹¹⁵

¹¹⁴ W H Griffith Thomas, The Catholic Faith (London: Church Book Room Press Ltd, 1966), p 56

¹¹⁵ *Ibid.*

Unbelieving Liberal Misconceptions

Initially this author makes the statement again about – Whose righteousness? The person's or Jesus' righteousness? Thus the discussion on the righteousness of the elect is through the true righteousness of Jesus in the heart of the believer. Thus what place has self-righteousness or self-centredness? This subheading above contains comments by this author and other authors on the problem of liberal theology and its relationship to self-righteousness or self-centredness.

This author examines the New Testament revelation of Jesus further in the discussion of McDowell in his chapter, “The Deity of Christ.” He discusses the misconception that Jesus of history is unknowable. He begins with the premise “if one were to study historically the life of Jesus of Nazareth, he would find a very remarkable man, not the Son of God.”¹¹⁶ McDowell extensively quotes Montgomery who, in turn, was commenting on Kant and his presuppositions – but Montgomery makes an interesting point “and general philosophical scepticism is a nice intellectual game, but one cannot live by it.”¹¹⁷ Montgomery adds that historical events are

¹¹⁶ Josh McDowell, The New Evidence that Demands a Verdict. Evidence 1 and 11. (Nashville, Tenn: Thomas Nelson, 1999), p xxxvii

¹¹⁷ Ibid, xxxviii

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“unique, and the test of their factual character can be the only accepted documentary approach that we have followed here. No historian has a right to a closed system of causation ...”¹¹⁸ and McDowell proceeds to conclude the argument by also quoting Schaff who comments “the purpose of the historian is not to construct a history from preconceived notions and to adjust it to his own liking, but to reproduce it from the best evidence and to let it speak for itself.”¹¹⁹ McDowell does this and looks at evidence in his section, “External Evidence Test For The Reliability of the New Testament,” and he overviews and quotes extensively the writings of Eusibius, Clement, Ignatius, Moyer, Polycarp and Tatian, in addition to non-Christian writers of history – Tacitus, Seutonius, Josephus, Thallus, Pliny the Younger, Trajan, Talmud, Lucian, Bar-Serapion, the Gospel of Truth (non-Christian, Gnostic) and the Acts of Pontius Pilate.¹²⁰ Concerning the historical work of Pliny the Younger, cited above, McDowell quotes a reference and then comments on Pliny’s historical evidence with the note “this reference provides solid evidence that Jesus Christ was worshiped as God from an early date by Christians who continued to follow the practice of breaking bread together, as reported in Acts 2:42, 46.”¹²¹ This author further comments on the word “unknowable”

¹¹⁸ Ibid.

¹¹⁹ Ibid.

¹²⁰ Ibid, 55-60

¹²¹ Ibid, 58

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

above. Only the elect truly “know” Jesus. This is because the elect have the Holy Spirit (Jn 16:13-15; 1 Jn 2:20). The elect know and believe Jesus is God. God indeed in Jesus through the Holy Spirit convicts each believer of this true reality. Jesus is the centre of our history – the calendar is witness to this! The problem is that the liberals see the Gospel as “story” only. Some believe in a physical resurrection – not a spiritual one, and also vice versa. Rather than believe in both a physical and spiritual resurrection, some liberals limit their belief to a spiritual resurrection – they deny the empty grave. They deconstruct the Gospel.

Another important area of misconception raised by McDowell is in his section “Loving Christians Should Accept Other Religious Views.” He comments on the word “tolerance ... (defining it) ... as to recognise and respect other’s beliefs and practices ...without sharing them ... put up with something not especially liked ... This is an important point especially in this postmodern age, and with a view that today “the new definition of tolerance is systematically being foisted upon the minds of all people ... Helmbock ... states the definition of new tolerance is that every individual’s beliefs, lifestyle and perception of truth claims are equal ... your beliefs and my beliefs are equal, and all truth is relative.”

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Are we to accept other views? Is black white and white black? With “neo-tolerance” are all views correct: Is there a real truth?

This section noted here by McDowell is very important. This author emphasises the danger of liberals in general and liberal Roman Catholicism and Anglo-Catholicism initiated. This author knows that no born-again, Holy Spirit filled Christian could have any interest in postmodernism and the evil philosophy that is represented. Holy Scripture has been very clear in warning against such error. Indeed, Paul’s letter to the Colossians clearly defines heresies such as ceremonialism, asceticism, angel worship, depreciation of Christ which limits the supremacy of Christ, Gnosticism and reliance on human wisdom and tradition. This author examines these errors in greater depth shortly. In addition to the Letter to the Colossians, this author notes that John’s first Letter also examines Gnosticism and the evil of that duality in detail. This author now compares the work of McDowell with the work of Gary E Gilley (footnoted below). One aspect of postmodernism thought relates to the idea that all are saved, no exceptions. As Gilley states “... unless one knows Jesus Christ and His Gospel to be true, one cannot be a Christian at all. One remains entrapped in the kingdom of darkness.” However, Gilley goes on to provide a quotation from the eminent evangelist, Billy

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Graham, which clearly illustrates the extent of postmodernism into today's pews and pulpits. "Even Billy Graham seems to have embraced inclusivity. He stated in a television interview with Robert Schuller, whether they come from the Muslim world, or the Buddhist world or the non-believing world, they are members of the Body of Christ because they have been called out by God. They may not know the name of Jesus but they know in their hearts that they need something they do not have, and they turn to the only light they have and I think that they are saved and they are going to be with us in Heaven." (Gary E Gilley, This Little Church Stayed Home (Webster, NY: Evangelical Press, 2006), p 41/42). This author encourages the reader to review the truth of Colossians 2:1-12. See further in Appendix.

This author needs to emphasise this incorrect postmodern liberal definition, as it is totally contrary to the truth of:

"For God so loved the world that He gave His One and Only Son that whoever believes in Him shall not perish but have eternal life ... whoever believes in the Son has eternal life, but whoever rejects the Son will not see life, for God's wrath remains on Him." (Jn 3:16, 36). Jesus said, "I am the Way,

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

the truth and the Life. No-one comes to the Father except through me.”(Jn14:6).

“Salvation is found in no-one else, for there is no other name under Heaven given to men by which we must be saved.” (Act 4:12).

This postmodern liberal teaching discussed is unloving as it does not acknowledge the true love of God which He gives to the true believer:

“Love does not delight in evil but rejoices with the truth. It always protects, always trusts, always hopes, always perseveres.” (1 Cor 13:6, 7)

Anything less than true Biblical truth is evil.

This author thus comments on liberal philosophy¹²² concerning scholarly and non-scholarly liberalism – the reality that they fall into

¹²² William C Placher, A History of Christian Theology. (Philadelphia, Penn: Westminster Press, 1983), p 68

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

the same error that Greek philosophy made in that they doubt the possibility and the truth of Scripture by arguing cultural and social justice issues rather than simply trusting and yielding to the Word of God in faith through the Holy Spirit. This is evidenced from experiences that this author has occasioned when questioning, eg homosexual issues where scholarly and non-scholarly liberal comment is to refute Scripture (eg Rom 1:27; 1 Cor 6:9) by suggesting such Scripture is not relevant today. Further, this author has been confronted by the comment that this author needs to “move with the times” – a comment which is disturbing because this author reasons “these times” are not much different to the unbelieving times of the cultures of Greece and Rome and the philosophy of that time – a philosophy which the apostle Paul comments. (This author is reminded of 1 Cor 1:18-30). Again, this author reasons that the thoughts of non-scholarly and scholarly liberals in our age thus represent a “neo-gnostic” philosophy a dualism which revisits the second century Gnosticism where matter is evil and spirit is concerned to be good.

This author adds here great concern for the faith of “supposed liberal Christians.” Are they true born-again, Holy Spirit filled Christians? The reference 2 Tim 1:13, 14 mentioned below along with this author’s additional new reference of Romans 8:3-13

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

questions their true regeneration. An example of this author's concern in relation to liberal error is a comment made at a meeting where it was said that "Allah equated to the God of the Bible" (here this means Allah = YAHWEH). This comment was the subject of a whole Anglican Ministry School and was of great concern.

This author reasons and emphasises that non-scholarly and scholarly liberalism of today bears much resemblance to the unfaithfulness and error of the Gnostics who "concluded that Christ had not really been a human being – he only seemed to be. Historians call this view Docetism ... 'to seem.'"¹²³ This author also agrees with Placher's comments that "most Christians, however, eventually come to feel that Docetism would turn Jesus' life into a sort of trick, an illusion ... Christians who believe Christ's suffering and death on the cross save them from their sins feared that if Christ had only *seemed* to suffer and die, then they can only *seem* to be saved."¹²⁴ Placher then examines the aspect that "perhaps Jesus was not divine."¹²⁵ He answers this problem by emphasising that "only God never changes; only God is all powerful. For believers to be ultimately secure, trust in Christ needed to be trust in God."¹²⁶ This author emphasises that God is the One True God

¹²³ Ibid.

¹²⁴ Ibid.

¹²⁵ Ibid, 69

¹²⁶ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

of the Bible (Isa 45). This author also emphasises the truth of the Gospel of Jesus as God and His oneness with the Father (Jn 10:30; 14:9).

A further comment concerning liberal error is related to this author's relationship with several liberal colleagues who have said that only the Old Testament is Scripture – but then in the same breath suggest that the Old Testament – the Law and the Prophets – is no longer relevant, especially within the area of the homosexual debate. This author is amazed at this statement when considered in relationship to the fact that the Letters of Peter, Paul and John are not recognised by liberal scholars as Scripture and the Gospels are “story”. Thus this author is drawn to the question then – What is left of Scripture? Is traditionalism our saviour?

This author recognises the difference between following traditions as we are directed to in Scripture opposed to elevating traditions above all else whereby the tradition or ritual itself is seen as the saving act – which is traditionalism – which cannot save!

So in essence liberals are ignoring the whole Bible, especially when they also focus on universalism concerning the forgiveness

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

and salvation offered through Jesus where they ignore God's wrath (Jn 3:16 cf 3:36).

This author further discusses the relationship between righteousness in Jesus and self-righteousness or self-centredness. Glover, discussing humankind's righteousness in Christ emphasises Jesus' mission – “the ‘suffering’ of Christ, a scandal to the Gentiles as well as to the Jew, becomes the very thing that makes Him Christ, the proof of His Messiahship, the revelation of His nature, and His real and eternal glory. It is the pledge of love on God's part that no-one could have dreamed, nor, without the cross, believed ... the whole difficult problem of righteousness, of sin and forgiveness, is solved. The cross is reconciliation, and ‘we have peace with God’” (Rom 5:1).¹²⁷ Glover follows these comments with his chapter “Not Having Mine Own Righteousness”¹²⁸ with a reflection about righteousness before the Lord Jesus came to complete His mission. He reflects on the Jewish casual attitude given to sin noting “the Jew was committed by the tradition of his people to the keeping of the Law; its manifold duties ... its picture of a jealous God insistent on righteousness to the utmost...”¹²⁹ and he focuses on “acquisition of merit as the

¹²⁷ T R Glover, Paul of Tarsus. (London: Student Christian Movement Press, 1938), p 69

¹²⁸ *Ibid*, 72

¹²⁹ *Ibid*, 75

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

goal.”¹³⁰ The whole endeavour of man intent upon merit was apt to become self-centred”¹³¹ and he further notes Luther’s comment concerning “opinions of righteousness” that “there was a danger of legalism ...associated religion with law, and the latter will gain ground with the swiftness of an infectious disease.”¹³² Glover then quotes R T Herford in his work on the Pharisees where he notes “Judaism in general, the Pharisaism in particular, was a religion which put the doing of God’s will in the first place, and faith in the second place; ...”¹³³ This distortion is further emphasised by “fixing their eyes on God’s Law they lose sight of God.”¹³⁴ This author uses these thoughts to further highlight that there is a parallel here with liberalism. The focus is on tradition, ritual and rubric and not fully on Jesus – on form rather than substance.

Also, Dodd makes a comment on righteousness quoting Amos when he went to Bethel that he astonished the priests with the words from YAHWEH “Seek me and live.” (Am 5:4) This author reflects at this point on Amos’ truth (Am 5:24). Further Dodd

¹³⁰ Ibid, 76

¹³¹ Ibid.

¹³² Ibid, 76, 77

¹³³ Ibid 77

¹³⁴ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

speaks of “artificial righteousness”¹³⁵ and is in reality ungodly self-centredness.

Following this theme, Dodd discusses God’s command concerning “rightful service, His kindness, justice, chivalry towards the weak and suffering, integrity in business in social relations, incorruptibility in the administration of the law, honour in politics ... and practical virtues as are a basis of a sound society.”¹³⁶

This author agrees that these functions are important, however, the liberal church focuses more on these issues than evangelism which calls people – those chosen – to faith in Jesus who is our Saviour. Morals are important but they come after faith in Jesus. Morals and moral rules are part of obedience or wise actions (Jn 14:15). It is unity with Jesus as discussed below that is paramount.

Erickson comments on the believer’s righteousness in Jesus “Christ and the believer have been brought into such a unity that Christ’s spiritual assets, as it were, and the spiritual liabilities and assets of the believer are merged. Thus, when looking at the

¹³⁵ C H Dodd, The Authority of The Bible. (London: Fontana Books, 1960), p 95

¹³⁶ Ibid, 98

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

believer God the Father does not see him or her alone. He sees the believer together with Christ...as if God says, 'They are righteous!'"¹³⁷ Erickson quotes a number of passages (eg Rom 6:23; Eph 2:8-9). Faith in Jesus comes first, then works (Jas 2:18).

Baillie gives a good example of self-righteousness as he states Fichte who said, "I have no time for penitence ... no-one can atone for my misdeeds except myself, and I can only do it by leaving them behind".¹³⁸ Hunter emphasises that Jesus gives salvation – "which is redemption ... deliverance ... emancipation."¹³⁹ Hunter adds the references (Rom 3:24; Eph 1:7; Col 1:14; Gal 3:13; Rom 6:22).¹⁴⁰ This emphasis is that righteousness is only found in Jesus. Thus Hunter discusses other authors and emphasises that to be declared righteous simply means "forgiveness".¹⁴¹ He also adds that righteousness is not of man but a "saving activity of God."¹⁴² This author adds here that this relates to faith – a faith given by God (Eph 2:5-8). Moreover, it is Jesus reconciling the elect to Himself – Jesus the righteousness of the elect.

¹³⁷ Millard J Erickson, Christian Theology. (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1998), p 971, 2

¹³⁸ D M Baillie, God Was In Christ (London: Faber and Faber Limited, 1961), p 161

¹³⁹ A M Hunter, The Gospel According to St Paul (London: SCM Press Ltd, 1966), p 19

¹⁴⁰ *Ibid*, 20

¹⁴¹ *Ibid*, 21

¹⁴² *Ibid*.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Elmslie highlights that righteousness is from God and that anything else is self-centredness and self-centred righteousness and he states that men “if they be content to ‘cleanse the outside of the cup and of the platter, but within they are full of extortion and excess’”.¹⁴³ Elmslie explains that “righteousness is to do completely with Jesus and His forgiveness, quoting Scripture “... Father forgive them for they know not what they do.”¹⁴⁴ Further Elmslie brilliantly comments about self-centred righteousness – “the horrible hypocrisy of worship smugly offered by men whose hearts are stones ...”¹⁴⁵ Again this author emphasises the futility of liberal ritual.

Milne builds a case which emphasises that as men and women there is no way that they can be righteous through their own merit. He notes that “sin is universal”¹⁴⁶ and he cites Romans 3:10-12, 23; Psalm 14:1ff. He suggests Jesus was “without sin (Heb 4:15).”¹⁴⁷ Commenting on Calvin’s thoughts on humankind’s sinfulness, Milne adds that “the Bible also teaches our total depravity by saying that sin has affected the very core of the person.”¹⁴⁸ Further as a

¹⁴³ W A L Elmslie, How Came Our Faith. (Great Britain: Collins Clear-type Press, 1962), p 82

¹⁴⁴ Ibid.

¹⁴⁵ Ibid, 345

¹⁴⁶ Bruce Milne, Know the Truth. (Leicester: Inter-Varsity Press, 1982), p 104

¹⁴⁷ Ibid.

¹⁴⁸ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

result he explains “We have no claim to moral self-justification.”¹⁴⁹ He emphasises that it is only Jesus and the result of His mission of salvation ... Christ’s righteousness ... - the “perfect righteousness of Christ.”¹⁵⁰ Milne cites the reference (Gal 3:13).

Concerning self-centred righteousness the Jews of the Old Covenant, and at the time of Jesus, thought that because they had the Scriptures of the Law they were saved. This author focuses on an important statement by Theissen who comments on “the demonstrative pride of the Law with an inability to perceive his own violation of the Law.”¹⁵¹ (Jn 5:39)

Theissen summarises his points on self-righteousness or self-centredness by concluding with comments about Paul. He notes “... only as a Pharisee could he claim that he was ‘blameless’ in righteousness of the law (Phil 3:6). As a Christian such a statement was for him impossible (cf Gal 3:11; Rom 3:23).”¹⁵²

¹⁴⁹ Ibid.

¹⁵⁰ Ibid, 188

¹⁵¹ Gerd Theissen, Psychological Aspects of Pauline Theology. (Phil: Fortress Press, 1987), p 241

¹⁵² Ibid, 242

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Concerning the above about Paul it is obvious that he knew the Law and followed the Law exactly, “Once knowing the Law he realised it condemned him. When the veil fell from his heart through his encounter with Christ, he recognised the shadow side of his zeal for the Law.”¹⁵³ Thus knowing Christ Paul adds:

“What is more, I consider everything a loss compared to the surpassing greatness of knowing Christ Jesus my Lord, for whose sake I have lost all things. I consider them rubbish, that I may gain Christ and be found in Him, not having a righteousness of my own that comes from the Law, but that which is through faith in Christ – the righteousness that comes from God and is by faith. I want to know Christ and the power of His resurrection and the fellowship of sharing in His suffering, becoming like Him in His death, and so, somehow, to attain the resurrection from the dead.” (Phil 3:7-11).

This author notes some very important points made by Murray. It concerns the question of righteousness. Firstly in his comment (Rom 2:13) he comments “This verse confirms or supports the proposition that the law will be the instrument of the condemnation

¹⁵³ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

announced upon those who have sinned under it. The emphasis in verse 13 dwells upon the difference between ‘hearers of the Law’ and ‘doers of the law’. The mere possession of the Law does not ensure favourable judgment on God’s part ... the Apostle is undoubtedly guarding against that perversion so characteristic of the Jew that the possession of God’s special revelation and of the corresponding privileges would afford immunity from the rigor of judgement applied to others not thus favoured.”¹⁵⁴ This author makes comments about the Pharisees believing this, and refers to John 5:39.

This author is also encouraged by Murray’s comment about the reference (2 Cor 3:14) and the wonderful truth of our declared righteousness through faith and forgiveness and that it is found only in Jesus.

Similarly Anderson discusses self-righteousness and self-centredness which demonstrates “a worthless self-righteousness – indeed” ... he further states “The outwardly religious nation had

¹⁵⁴ John Murray, The Epistle to the Romans. (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans Publishing Co, 1975), p 71

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

failed to hear and obey YAHWEH's demand for righteousness and justice (Am 5:24)."¹⁵⁵

This author is interested in the work of Seaton concerning Arminianism and how it limits the work of the person of Jesus. For reference the five points of Arminianism are:

1. Free will or human ability ...
2. Conditional election ...
3. Universal redemption or general atonement ...
4. The work of the Holy Spirit in regeneration limited by the human will
5. ... falling from grace ...¹⁵⁶

This author refers to Seaton's comments on Arminianism as they demonstrate a level of self-righteousness. They limit Jesus' sovereignty giving humankind the ability to decide their own election or salvation.

This author emphasises again the truth of regeneration and conversion, and makes a further comment agreeing with Seaton's

¹⁵⁵ G W Anderson, The History and Religion of Israel. (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1989), p 114

¹⁵⁶ W J Seaton, The Five Points of Calvinism. (Edinburgh: The Banner of Truth Trust, 1979), p 3

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

work. Simply, the first breath taken the instant a person is born. Likewise, it is the same spiritually – when someone has been born-again they are then filled with the breath of God’s Holy Spirit. This is their regeneration. Conversion is the process of knowing and growing in obedience to Jesus.

Only Jesus, the eternal second Person of YAHWEH, the eternal Living Word, can do this (Jn 3:16).

Archer comments on liberal theologians’ attitudes to the Old Testament and how in the development hypothesis Liberal theologians have watered down YAHWEH to “sweetness and light”.¹⁵⁷ Further to the above Archer discusses Jeremiah 7:22ff and the amazement that Liberal scholars got it so wrong is surely a “masterpiece of misstatement and misrepresentation, shot through with fallacies from beginning to end, but it illustrates the perverted notion of Hebrew religion taught in many quarters today as a populization of the Wellhausen hypothesis. Suffice it to say that there is no parallel to this to be found anywhere else in human history ...”¹⁵⁸ In Archer’s discussion legalism had resulted in a self-

¹⁵⁷ Gleason L Archer, A Survey of Old Testament Introduction. (Chicago: Moody Press, 1994), p 157

¹⁵⁸ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

centredness, which in this author's mind reflects some word of Lewis:

“They seem to me to lack literary judgement, to be imperceptive about the very quality of the texts they are reading. To Bultmann's claim that the personality of Jesus was unimportant to Paul and John, Lewis replies, ‘through what strange process has this learned German gone in order to make himself blind to what all men except him see.’ And then he declares: these men ask me to believe they can read between the lines of the old texts; the evidence is their obvious inability to read ... the lines themselves. They claim to see fern-seed and can't see an elephant 10 yards away in broad daylight.”¹⁵⁹

Further Archer emphasises that not only in the Old Covenant in the book of Amos should the people there have had “a true and living faith” as opposed to “a mere empty profession”¹⁶⁰ but it also applies to the scholarship of liberal theologians in the 19th century. This author concludes this section with the truth from Paul:

¹⁵⁹ John M Frame, *Apologetics to the Glory of God*. (Phillipsburg, New Jersey: R & R Publishing, 1994), p 133

¹⁶⁰ Gleason L Archer, *A Survey of Old Testament Introduction*. (Chicago: Moody Press, 1994), p 351

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

“What you heard from me, keep as the pattern of sound teaching, with faith and love in Christ Jesus. Guard the good deposit that was entrusted to you – guard it with the help of the Holy Spirit who lives in us.” (2 Tim 1:13, 14).

The Truth of the Resurrection

This author now examines the deity of Jesus and the truth of the resurrection acknowledging the work of other authors.

Davis reminds this author of Jesus' true deity with comments on the resurrection and final judgement with the wonderful truth (Job 19:25-27) "I know that my Redeemer lives, and that in the end He will stand upon the earth ...". He also quotes wonderful references (Mt 22:29-32; Jn 6:39-40; Rom 6:5) along with the truth of 1 Corinthians 15 and 1 Thessalonians 4. He concludes with references on judgment (eg Mt 13:39-42; 25:32-33, 46). This author is marvelled at the truth of this last reference (Jn 12:47-48) which confirms the truth that Jesus (Jn 3:17) that He did not come to condemn but to save. Those who do not accept Him condemn themselves (Jn 3:36). This author concludes with the simple comment on Davis' discussion about the eternal state – the joy of being "with Christ" (Phil 1:23). When mentioning the word "joy" this author is also reminded of the awesome truth that only believers have true "joy" as joy is a fruit of the Spirit – a condition of true belief in Jesus now and for all eternity. This author also adds that the believers' election and adoption, that state of belonging to Jesus is a great comfort and success for eternity.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

This author is also encouraged by Davis here as he discusses Jesus' obedience which this author suggests gives practical understanding about wisdom. In addition to faithfulness and therefore righteousness, one of the most important characteristics of Jesus as mentioned by Davis is that of obedience. He always obeyed God's will (Jn 6:38), indeed He did exactly what His Father had commanded (Jn 14:30-31). Already mentioned is Jesus' authority to lay His life down and take it up again (Jn 10:18). Davis mentions God's command authorizing the Lord to do this (Jn 15:10). Jesus saves us from our sins by being our substitute and being obedient to death "even death on a cross" (Phil 2:8).

Referring to "our substitute" above I know some Liberal scholars have urged all Christians to be tolerant of differences in basic beliefs. However, this would be compromising the ultimate sacrifice of Jesus. One would be acknowledging all belief systems must be correct. This is compromise at its worst for scripture teaches "salvation is found in no-one else..." (Acts 4:12). If one person's "truth" is diametrically opposed to another person's "truth" how can both be correct?

Following on from this truth, this author notes Davis comments on the resurrection and ascension. He explains that "the resurrection

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

was God's vindication of Christ's teaching and earthly ministry ..."¹⁶¹ and that by His death and resurrection, death and sin were defeated. This is the wonderful evidence that Jesus is King of kings and Lord of lords deserving of our worship (Mt 28:9; Lk 24:36-39). Of great importance is the reality as Davis explains that Jesus' body was a "real body that could be seen and touched."¹⁶² (Again Lk 24:36-39). Davis also highlights the wonderful truth that Jesus continues in His ministry that the "ascended Christ is now actively continuing His work through the ministry and mission of the church"¹⁶³ (Acts 1:1-2). This author also adds the wonderful joy for Christians of the guidance of His Holy Spirit (Rom 8:9). Further it is marvellous how the Holy Spirit guided Paul when he wrote the wonderful words concerning Jesus (Phil 2:9-11) and Davis notes the Hymn "Of Obedience and Humble Service"¹⁶⁴ which in this author's mind exemplifies the humility of Jesus (Mt 20:28) and also his exaltation as King of kings and Lord of lords with the Father now. Thus Jesus is the only Saviour (Acts 4:12) and thus the author of the believers' calling and salvation.

¹⁶¹ John Jefferson Davis, Basic Bible Texts. (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1984), p 81

¹⁶² Ibid, 82

¹⁶³ Ibid.

¹⁶⁴ Ibid, 83

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Frame begins briefly outlining miracles that “throughout the Scriptures God does wonderful works so that people will know that He is the Lord (Ex 6:7; 7:5, 17; 8:22; 9:14; 10:2; 11:7; 14:4, 18; 16:12; 29:46)”¹⁶⁵ to mention the beginning of God’s works. He explains that during the ministry of Jesus that Jesus Himself made many miraculous signs but that they rarely allowed people to soften their hearts and believe. Even the enemies of Jesus admitted to the miraculous but did not believe. Frame further explains that even the resurrection itself failed to “convince many”.¹⁶⁶ He continues with comments of Jesus’ rebuke to those who only wanted signs (Mt 12:39; Jn 4:48). This author is aware of the many New Testament references concerning miraculous signs and their “epistemological function” and, with respect to apologists many will say – well there are strange happenings. However, concerning the resurrection, already noted above with the appropriate references, this author is greatly encouraged by Frame’s words, except for the use of the word “story” in the passage which follows:

“The story of the Resurrection was related too soon after the fact to be the product of legendary development. The ornamentation and elaboration characteristic of legends is not

¹⁶⁵ John M Frame, *Apologetics to the Glory of God*. (Phillipsburg, New Jersey: R & R Publishing, 1994), p 143

¹⁶⁶ *Ibid.*

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

there. The story of the women discovering the empty tomb bears remarkable marks of authenticity. No-one inventing such a story would have placed women in this role, because they were not acceptable witnesses in Jewish courts of law.

Attempts to explain the Resurrection as something other than a supernatural event have always fallen flat. Some have said that Jesus did not actually die on the cross, but only fell into a coma, from which he was roused in the tomb. But in such a weakened condition, Jesus could not have rolled away the heavy stone and appeared to the disciples as the triumphant Lord of heaven and earth. Some have said that the disciples engaged in a conspiracy, but that has been dealt with above. Some have explained the post-Resurrection appearances as hallucinations or 'visions'. But hallucinations do not work that way. They do not produce the same images in many persons, who then report that they have all seen the same thing.

The fact is, then that the Resurrection is as well established as any fact in history – indeed better than most, for it is attested by the Word of God itself.”¹⁶⁷

¹⁶⁷ Ibid, 146

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

This author is further encouraged by Frame's comments that the resurrection was credibly absolutely certain and that it is the word of God Himself and deserves "the highest standard of credibility."¹⁶⁸

McDowell, in his discussion on Jesus as Lord, comments on the resurrection in his Chapter "Support of Deity: The Resurrection – Hoax or History?" He begins by stating "the Resurrection of Jesus Christ is one of the most wicked, vicious, heartless hoaxes ever foisted upon the minds of men, OR it is the most fantastic fact of history."¹⁶⁹ He continues about Jesus' credentials and explains "(1) The impact of His life, through His miracles and teachings, upon history; (2) fulfilled prophecy in His life; and (3) His resurrection."¹⁷⁰ McDowell highlights the record of the resurrection (Mt 28:1-11; Mk 16; Lk 24; Jn 20, 21), and cites W L Craig concerning the importance of the physical resurrection of Christ – "Without the belief in the resurrection the Christian faith could not have come into being. The disciples would have remained crushed and defeated men. Even had they continued to remember Jesus as their beloved teacher, His crucifixion would have forever silenced any hopes of His being the Messiah. The cross would have

¹⁶⁸ Ibid, 147

¹⁶⁹ Josh McDowell, The New Evidence that Demands a Verdict. Evidence I and II. (Nashville, Tenn: Thomas Nelson, 1999), p 203

¹⁷⁰ Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

remained forever the sad and shameful end of His career. The origin of Christianity therefore hinges on the belief of the early disciples that God had raised Jesus from the dead.”¹⁷¹

McDowell continues with the significance of the resurrection and that of the four world religions based on personalities that “only Christianity claimed an empty tomb for its founder.”¹⁷² He continues further with the true comment that “the resurrection is propounded as being (1) the explanation of Jesus’ death; (2) prophetically anticipated as the messianic experience; (3) apostolically witnessed; (4) the cause of the outpouring of the Spirit, and thus accounting for religious phenomena otherwise inexplicable; and (5) certifying the Messianic and Kingly position of Jesus of Nazareth... without the resurrection the Messianic and Kingly position of Jesus could not be convincingly established.”¹⁷³

Concerning historic fact McDowell cites W J Sparrow-Simpson who wrote “If the resurrection is not historic fact, then the power of death remains unbroken, and with it the effect of sin; and the significance of Christ’s death remains uncertified, and accordingly believers are yet in their sins, precisely where they were before they heard of

¹⁷¹ Ibid, 204

¹⁷² Ibid, 205

¹⁷³ Ibid, 206

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Jesus' name."¹⁷⁴ McDowell explains that the “resurrection turned disaster into victory and without the resurrection Christianity would never have happened ... that without faith in the resurrection there would be no Christianity at all ... Christianity stands or falls with the truth of the resurrection.”¹⁷⁵ McDowell highlights the reference (1 Cor 15:7).

In addition to the New Testament witness above in the previous comments about the deity of Jesus. McDowell continues with the claims of Jesus that he would be raised from the dead – indeed His own predictions of His own resurrection (Mt 12:38-40; 16:21; 17:9; 17:22-23; 20:18-19; 26:32; 27:63; Mk 8:31-9:1; 9:10; 9:31; 10:32-34; 14:28, 58; Lk 9:22-27; Jn 2:18-22; 12:34; and also Chapters 14-16).

McDowell also spends considerable time in explaining the historical approach to the resurrection of Jesus as an event in history. He cites Wilbur Smith who commented “the meaning of the resurrection is a theological matter, but the fact of the resurrection is a historical matter; the nature of the resurrection body of Jesus

¹⁷⁴ Ibid.

¹⁷⁵ Ibid, 208

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

may be a mystery, but the fact that the body disappeared from the tomb is a matter to be decided upon by historical evidence.”¹⁷⁶ This author comments here concerning the word “mystery” cited by Smith and is reminded that the Greek equivalent “mysterion” as discussed in the section “Sovereignty of God – God’s Responsibility and Ours” has to do with something that was concealed but is now explained and revealed – and this is exactly true when examining the predictions that Jesus made in Scripture concerning His own resurrection. McDowell continues looking at the legal ramifications of the resurrection as fact and comments on the forensic aspects of Scripture noting Bernard Ramm who said “In Acts 1, Luke tells us that Jesus showed Himself alive by many infallible proofs (en pollois tekmeriois), an expression indicating the strongest type of legal evidence.”¹⁷⁷ McDowell further cites Ernest Kevan concerning eyewitnesses which have been discussed by La Haye. However, Kevan also adds concerning the Epistles of the New Testament that they “constitute historical evidence of the highest kind ...”¹⁷⁸ In addition to the above authors McDowell cites J N D Anderson who discussed Moule who explained “from the very first the conviction that Jesus had been raised from death has been that by which their very existence has stood or fallen. There was

¹⁷⁶ Ibid, 211

¹⁷⁷ Ibid, 213

¹⁷⁸ Ibid, 214

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

no other motive to account for them, to explain them ... at no point within the New Testament is there any evidence that the Christians stood for an original philosophy of life or an original ethic. Their sole function is to bear witness to what they claimed as an event – the raising of Jesus from among the dead ... the one really distinctive thing for which the Christian stood was their declaration that Jesus had been raised from the dead according to God’s design ...”¹⁷⁹ Thus as Sparrow-Simpson adds to his previous citation, “the resurrection of Christ is the foundation of apostolic Christianity ...”¹⁸⁰ This author adds (and this can also apply to the unbelief of liberal scholars who reject Paul and Peter’s letters, and also John’s Revelation as Scripture) that “those who deny His resurrection consistently deny as a rule His divinity and His redemptive work in any sense that St Paul would have acknowledged.”¹⁸¹ This author has noted that McDowell has much more to say on this marvellous foundational truth and concludes this section on the resurrection with McDowell’s comment that “it may be said that the historical evidence for the resurrection is stronger than for any other miracle anywhere ... as Paul said, if Christ is not risen from the dead then our preaching is in vain and your faith is also vain.”¹⁸² This author acknowledges that McDowell

¹⁷⁹ Ibid, 215

¹⁸⁰ Ibid.

¹⁸¹ Ibid.

¹⁸² Ibid.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

has presented evidence for the resurrection of Christ in such a manner that would be acceptable in a modern day courtroom setting. This is not to deny the role faith plays in accepting the historical fact as part of God working in the lives of humankind.

APPENDIX



Catholic News Service

CNS Story:

" **Pope made important overtures to non-Christian religions**

By Jerry Filteau
Catholic News Service

WASHINGTON (CNS) -- More than any pontiff in modern history, Pope John Paul II made important overtures to non-Christian religions, using documents, prayer meetings and personal visits to open the doors of dialogue.

In August 1985, when he visited Morocco at the invitation of King Hassan II, he became the first pope to visit an officially Islamic country at the invitation of its religious leader.

There, at a historic meeting with thousands of Muslim youths in Casablanca Stadium, he emphasized that "we believe in the same God, the one God, the living God." //

2005 Catholic News Service/USCCB.

This author has heard the current Pope - Pope Benedict say similar words on the Australian Broadcasting Commission Radio that he believes the god of Islam and the God of the Bible are the same.

DEFINITIONS OF HERESIES

HERESY DURING TIME OF RECORDING

NEW TESTAMENT SCRIPTURE

Ceremonialism

This concerned strict rules about kinds of permissible food, drink, religious festivals and the requirement of circumcision of believers. It is a return to requirements of the law.

Ascetism

This concerned what could be handled, tasted or touched.

Angel Worship

Concerns truth (Colossians 2:18)

Depreciation of Jesus

Here is implied opposition to the Supremacy of Jesus (Colossians 1:15-20).

Secret Knowledge

This is Gnosticism (defined below).

Reliance on Human Wisdom

At the time when teachings of Plato still accepted (see Colossians 2:4, 8)

HERESIES FROM DEFECTIVE VIEWS OF PERSON OF JESUS

Ebionism

This heresy denies Jesus His first nature – His Divinity – it says He is not Divine.

Docetism

This heresy denies Jesus His second nature – His humanity. Jesus “seems” human. Thus He would be no example for mankind.

Gnosticism

Concerns “special knowledge” and man can do anything in the body because:

body – evil

spirit – good

This is an evil dualism as it allows all kinds of immorality. Gnostics believe Jesus was not fully God nor fully man.

Arianism

This heresy views Jesus as a prophet where “word” in John 1 is not “Word” (ie Living Word – Eternal Second Person of YAHWEH) but simply “a god”. This heresy sees Jesus - “the logos” as created. This is Jehovah's Witnesses' belief.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Appolnarianism

This heresy suggests God resides in a human body. It is a form of Docetism (above).

Nestorianism

This heresy denies the unity of the Trinity. It emphasises two separate natures of the Person of Jesus but destroys the unity of the Person of Jesus – the “oneness” of the Trinity.

Eutychianism

Jesus before He was born of Mary – before His Incarnation had two natures. After Incarnation only one nature.

Kenosis

This heresy suggests Jesus laid His Divine attributes aside at His Incarnation.

HERESIES THAT DENY DISTINCTIONS BETWEEN PERSONS OF HOLY TRINITY

Modal Monarchianism

This heresy denies the unity of the Trinity by stressing the unity of Father, Son and Holy Spirit are roles and not persons.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

Sabellianism

Similar to Modal Monarchianism but Father becomes Son becomes Holy Spirit suggesting different “roles”for different “Persons”.

Swedenborgianism

This heresy is a mix of Modal Monarchianism and Pantheism. Pantheism suggests that primary matter including living things, including mankind are one in essence with God. We have no existence apart from His. We are part of the Divine essence. Jesus is one with us and is in us by virtue of creation rather than redemption. This is a universalist error meaning Jesus is at one with all members of the human race not only believers.

Arianism

Apart from the defective view above concerning this heresy, here it emphasises, in defining the Persons of the Trinity, that Jesus, the eternal Second Person of YAHWEH, the Son, King of kings and Lord of lords, is not that at all -but simply created and not eternal. The Arians did not read Colossians 1:15-20 (see John 20:28).

Evaluation

Clearly the authors of these heresies have not read Holy Scripture. The Creed of Athanasius clearly defines the Trinity.

His Prayer and Creed have a separate chapter in the main text.

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

So, it's all about being born-again – truly regenerated – given by the Father to the Son. Giving comes before receiving. John 1:12 records:

“Yet to all who received Him, to those who believed in His name, He gave the right to become children of God – children born not out of human descent, nor of human decision or a husband's will, but born of God.”

This author reasons that this truth must be understood in conjunction with the truth from Romans 3:10-12, that it is only perceived after regeneration when faith is given. There is no “knowing” or “growing” until after regeneration. Keeping on believing occurs after the person elect knows, is truly sorry for their sin after repenting and in obedience keeps on believing through God's sovereign strength. This author has said there is no “knowing” or “growing” until after regeneration. Here this “knowing” refers to the true definition of “knowing” - a true perception of certainty – that absolute conviction and assurance of salvation through a positional change – a change instituted by Jesus in regeneration. It is important that this author emphasises here the elect's assurance – that real hope of salvation given by Jesus to each of His elect. This knowledge of assurance is so important that this author has included the truths of assurance and acceptance given in Scripture. Thus, we are the elect are:

- God's children (John 1:12)
- Friends of Jesus (John 15:15)
- Justified – declared righteous in Jesus (Romans 5:1)
- Free from condemnation for now and eternity (Romans 8:12)

Churches: Evangelical or Liberal

- Knowing all things work together for the good of the elect (Romans 8:28)
- Free from all charges (Romans 8:33-34)
- Not ever separated from love of God (Romans 8:35)
- United with Jesus and one in the Holy Spirit (1 Corinthians 6:17)
- Brought with a price and belonging to Jesus (1 Corinthians 6:20)
- A member of Jesus' Body (1 Corinthians 12:27)
- Anointed and sealed by God (2 Corinthians 1:21)
- Declared a saint (Ephesians 1:1)
- Adopted as God's children (Ephesians 1:5)
- Having direct access to Father through Holy Spirit (Ephesians 2:15)
- Good work in Jesus perfected (Philippians 1:6)
- Citizens of Heaven (Philippians 3:20)
- Redeemed and forgiven (Colossians 1:14)
- Complete in Jesus (Colossians 2:10)
- Hidden with Jesus in God (Colossians 3:3)
- Having a Spirit of power, love and a sound mind (2 Timothy 1:7)
- Knowing we have grace and mercy in time of need (Hebrews 4:16)
- Born of God, Satan unable to touch us (1 John 5:18)
and knowing the assurances that are taught in 1 John.